arXiv:1410.7516v1 [nlin.SI] 28 Oct 2014

Algebro-Geometric Solutions for Kadomtsev-Petviashvili Hierarchy

Peng Zhao Engui Fan *

School of Mathematical Sciences, Institute of Mathematics
and Key Laboratory of Mathematics for Nonlinear Science,
Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, P.R. China

Abstract

Based on the idea of symmetric constraint, we apply the Gesztesy-Holden's method to derive explicit representations of the Baker-Ahkiezer function ψ_1 of the KP hierarchy, from which we provide theta function representations of algebro-geometric solutions for the whole Kadomtsev-Petviashvili (KP) hierarchy. This provides a approach to obtain some special subclasses of algebro-geometric solutions for the KP hierarchy and other high dimensional hierarchy of equations.
Key words: KP hierarchy, symmetric constraint, BakerCAkhiezer function, Gesztesy-Holden's method, algebro-geometric solutions.

1 Introduction

In the past few decades there have been many remarkable developments in the theory of integrable nonlinear partial differential equations [1], [4], [13], [58]. One of the most widely studied integrable equation in 2+1 dimensions is the Kadomtsev-Petwiashvili (KP) equation [11], [29],

$$u_t = \frac{1}{4}u_{xxx} + 3uu_x + \frac{3}{4}\partial_x^{-1}u_{yy}, \tag{1.1}$$

which also has been generalized in various forms [26], [42], [58]. There are also different approaches to the description of the algebraical and geometrical aspects of the KP equation. One is the theory of bilocal recursion operators [21], [34], [54], where the KP appears as a member of a hierarchy of commuting flows. Another is the Sato's theory [10], [11], [29], [55], which

*Corresponding author and e-mail address: faneg@fudan.edu.cn

is based on the treatment of partial differential KP equations as dynamical systems on the infinite-dimensional algebra of pseudo-differential operators [2]. By introducing an infinite set of time variables one can also treat the integrable equations as flows on infinite-dimensional Grassmannian manifolds [29]. Moreover, this theory reveals deep interrelations between the Hamiltonian structures of the KP hierarchy and two-dimensional conformal field theory as well as $W_{1+\infty}$ algebras [57]. In the scheme of the Sato's theory, the KP equation is considered as the simplest member of a hierarchy of equations which can be brought to bilinear form and solved by the τ-funtion approach.

The problem of constructing the algebro-geometric solutions is one of the most challenging problems of the theory of integrable systems, and many mathematicians and physicists spent much efforts to obtain the algebro-geometric solutions for almost all equations that are known to be integrable. This kinds of solutions were originally studied on the KdV equation based on the inverse spectral theory and algebro-geometric method developed by pioneers such as Novikov [14], Dubrovin *et al.* [15], [16], Its & Matveev [27], [28], Lax [41], and McKean & van Moerbeke [46] for 1 + 1 systems, and extended by Krichever in 1976 for 2 + 1 systems like KP [38], [39] in the late 1970s. Later this theory has been developed to the whole hierarchies of nonlinear integrable equations by Gesztesy, Holden *et al.* using polynomial recursion method [12], [23], [24], [25]. Another breakthrough in this area was made by Mumford [48] in early 1980s, who observed that integrable equations like KdV, KP or sine-Gordon, are hidden in Fay's trisecant formula. Mumford's approach are based on degenerated versions of Fay's identity, which reveals the relations between algebro-geometric solutions of integrable equations and a purely algebro-geometric identity [30], [32], [33]. Owing to their work, the theory of theta functions and Abelian varieties developed in the domain of complex analysis and algebraic geometry can be directly linked with the theory of integrable systems. A detailed introduction to this aspect can be found in the survey article [45].

Before turning to the main text, it seems appropriate to review some related literature about KP equation in detail as usual. An important development of the algebro-geometric method was the passage from 1+1 systems to the integration of 2+1 KP-like systems, realized by Krichever in 1976 [38], who constructed algebro-geometric solutions of the KP eqation on a basis of a purely algebraic formulation of algebro-geometric approach. A complete description of smooth, real, algebro-geometric solutions of the KP equation was obtained in [17] by separate nontrival work. The algebro-geometric spectral theory of the stationary periodic 2D Schrödinger operator was de-

veloped in the works [16], [49]. In ref. [47], Miller found 2+1-dimensional dynamics of the equations of the KP hierarchy can be approximated by the simpler 1+1-dimensional dynamics of the equations of a sequence of larger and larger vector nonlinear Schrödinger hierarchies, which could be used to develop powerful numerical methods for solving the KP equations. A explicit theta function solution of the KP equation was derived with the help of separation technique by Cao *et al.* [6]. Matveev & Salle found larger families of solutions to the KP equation, also expressed by means of the Riemann theta functions, by applying the dressing formulae [43], [44].

The purpose of this paper is to construct the algebro-geometric solutions for the whole KP hierarchy by extending the Gesztesy-Holden's method of [25] to 2+1 dimensional case based on the idea of symmetry constraint. The KP hierarchy can be consistently constrained in many different ways to yield hierarchies of equations in $1+1$ independent variables [7], [9], [20], [37], [47],[51], [53], [54], [56]. The idea of studying these constraints comes from the reduction of 1+1-dimensional integrable soliton equations to finite-dimensional integrable equations [3], [19], [22]. A well-known example is the restriction of the KdV flow to the pure multisoliton submanifold [22], where we impose the constraint $u = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i \Psi_i^2$ on the KdV potential u and eigenfunctions Ψ_i. This leads to the finite-dimensional integrable system

$$\Psi_{i,xx} = \lambda_i^2 \Psi_i + \sum_{k=1}^{N} c_k \Psi_k^2 \Psi_i, i = 1, \ldots, N.$$

For the KP equations, there are two kinds of constraints. The one is the so-called k-reductions for which the operator L^k is forced to become a purely differential operator leads to hierarchies of $1+1$-dimensional equations [11], [29]. These reductions are associated with constraints imposed separately on the potentials u, and eigenfunctions ψ and ψ^*. Meanwhile, it has been shown that the KP hierarchy also admits another type of constraints relating the potenials u, with the eigenfunctions ψ and ψ^*. One of them is the symmetry constraints for 2+1 dimensional soliton equations, which have been discussed the first time in the papers [7], [35]. In ref. [35], Konopelchenko *et al.* proved that the auxiliary linear problems

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi_{t_2} = \psi_{xx} + 2u\psi, \\ &\psi_{t_3} = \psi_{xxx} + 3u\psi_x + \frac{3}{2}u_x\psi + \frac{3}{2}\partial_x^{-1}u_{t_2}\psi, \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

and its adjoint

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^*_{t_2} &= -\psi^*_{xx} - 2u\psi^*,\\ \psi^*_{t_3} &= \psi^*_{xxx} + 3u\psi^*_x + \frac{3}{2}u_x\psi^* - \frac{3}{2}\partial_x^{-1}u_{t_2}\psi^*\end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

which arise from the third flow and second flow of KP hierarchy are constrained to the first two nontrivial flows of the AKNS hierarchy by identifying the potential u of the KP equation to $\psi\psi^*$. Further, it has been shown that imposing the symmetry constraint

$$u_x = (\psi\psi^*)_x$$

on the auxiliary linear problems of the KP hierarchy

$$\psi_{t_m} = L_+^m\psi, \quad \psi^*_{t_m} = -(L_+^m)^*\psi, \tag{1.4}$$

leads to standard AKNS hierarchy [36]. Here L is the usual first-order formal pseudo-differential Lax operator defined in (2.2) and $(L_+^m)^*$ is the formal adjoint to the operator L_+^m, i.e. if $L_+^m = \sum v_j\partial_x^j$, then $(L_+^m)^* = \sum(-\partial_x)^j v_j$. Further motivation for the new constraints lies in the methods of solving integrable equations in 1+1- or 2+l-dimensions by the nonlinearization of linear problems [5], [8], [59]. Thus, imposing some constraint on a 2+1 dimensional equation is a possible way to obtain a submanifold of solutions of the KP equations by solving two equations in 1+1 dimensions.

Since any non-singular algebraic curve can be used as spectral curve of KP equation, it is essential to consider some constraints about KP hierarchy if we really want to get a explicit form of Baker-Akhiezer function from this point of view. However, this way of consideration produces mixed results. On the one hand, the study of KP equations is restricted to only certain specific types of spectral curves, which narrows the classes of possible solutions. On the other hand, it makes problems more concrete, which can increase the expressions of solutions corresponding to this specific curve and allows us to have a unified way to study solutions of the whole KP hierarchy. Thus, considering the constraints of KP equations enables us to get larger families of algebro-geometric solutions in this sense, which is also our start point.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we formulate some fundamental knowledge about Sato's KP hierarchy, AKNS hierarchy and the relations between them in literature. Then a basic initial problem is introduced as solutions of a linear system which is defined by classical squared

basis functions. In section 3, we shall introduce hyperellipitc curves associated with KP hierarchy and study the dynamics of auxiliary spectral points $\{\mu_j\}_{j=1}^n, \{\nu_j\}_{j=1}^n$ with respect to x, y, t_{r+1} and corresponding trace formula. In section 4, we present explicit representation for Baker-Akhiezer function ψ_1, ψ_2 and consider their analytic properties. In section 5, it will be shown the function ψ_1 derived in section 4 is not only connected to the Baker-Akhiezer function of the KP equation, but a quantity to define new 2+1 dimension systems possessing close relations with the KP equation. Moreover, we shall derive theta function representation for ψ_1, ψ_2, q, p, and algebro-geometric solutions of the whole KP hierarchy.

The whole approach discussed in the present paper is a general one and gives similar results for other 2+1 dimensional and higher dimensional soliton equations, for instance, for the modified KP hierarchy and Davey-Stewartson equation, etc.

2 Sato KP hierarchy, AKNS hierarchy and basic initial value problem

In the Sato approach [11], the KP hierarchy is described by the isospectral deformations of the eigenvalue problem

$$L\psi = \lambda\psi, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{2.1}$$

where the pseudodifferential operator L is given by

$$L = \partial + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} u_{j+1}\partial^{-j}, \quad \partial = \partial_x, \tag{2.2}$$

and u_j are functions in infinitely many variables $(t_1, t_2, \ldots)$ with $t_1 = x, t_2 = y$. We denote by B_m the differential part of L^m :

$$B_m = (L^m)_+ = \sum_{j=0}^{m} b_{m,j}\partial^j. \tag{2.3}$$

The coefficients $b_{m,j}$ in (2.3) can be uniquely determined by the coordinates u_j, and their x derivatives. Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1 &= \partial, \\
B_2 &= \partial^2 + 2u_2, \\
B_3 &= \partial^3 + 3u_2\partial + 3u_3 + 3u_{2,x}, \\
B_4 &= \partial^4 + 4u_2\partial^2 + (4u_3 + 6u_{2,x})\partial + 4u_4 + 6u_{3,x} \\
&\quad + 4u_{2,xx} + 6u_2^2, \quad \text{etc.}
\end{aligned}$$

From the compatibility conditions of (2.1) and

$$\phi_{t_m} = B_m\phi, \tag{2.4}$$

we have

$$L_{t_m} = [B_m, L], \tag{2.5}$$

or equivalently,

$$(B_m)_{t_n} - (B_n)_{t_m} = [B_n, B_m]. \tag{2.6}$$

The KP hierarchy is obtained from (2.5) or (2.6) for infinite coordinates $\{u_j\}_{j=2}^{\infty}$. For example, from (2.5), we derive

$$u_{2,t_2} = 2u_{3,x} + u_{2,xx}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$u_{3,t_2} = 2u_{4,x} + u_{3,xx} + 2u_2u_{2,x}, \tag{2.8}$$

$$u_{4,t_2} = 2u_{5,x} + u_{4,xx} + 4u_{2,x}u_3 - 2u_2u_{2,xx}, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\cdots\cdots$$

$$u_{2,t_3} = 3u_{4,x} + 3u_{3,xx} + u_{2,xxx} + 6u_2u_{2,x}, \tag{2.10}$$

$$u_{3,t_3} = 3u_{5,x} + 3u_{4,xx} + u_{3,xxx} + 6(u_2u_3)_x, \tag{2.11}$$

$$\cdots\cdots$$

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{2,t_4} &= 4u_{5,x} + 6u_{4,xx} + 4u_{3,xxx} + u_{2,xxxx} + 12(u_2u_3)_x \\
&\quad + 6(u_2u_{2,x})_x,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

$$\cdots\cdots$$

Eliminating u_3, u_4, u_5 from (2.7), (2.8), (2.9) and taking into account (2.10), (2.12), one obtains

$$u_{t_3} = \frac{1}{4}u_{xxx} + 3uu_x + \frac{3}{4}\partial^{-1}u_{yy} \tag{2.13}$$

$$u_{t_4} = \frac{1}{2}u_{xxy} + 4uu_y + 2u_x\partial^{-1}u_y + \frac{1}{2}\partial^{-2}u_{yyy}. \tag{2.14}$$

where we denote by $u = u_2$. Equation (2.13) is just the KP equation and equation (2.14) is the first higher order flows of the KP hierarchy. Similarly, the KP and higher-order KP equation can also be derived from (2.6) with $n = 2, m = 3$ and $n = 2, m > 3$, respectively.

Now we recall some basic results about AKNS hierarchy. The ref. [25] provides two complexified versions of AKNS hierarchy, zero-curvature and matrix differential operator formalisms. In the following, we shall construct the standard AKNS hierarchy in a similar manner. Generally, the AKNS hierarchy is introduced by developing its zero-curvature formalism. To this end one defines the sequences of differential polynomials $\{f_\ell\}_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}_0}, \{g_\ell\}_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, and $\{h_\ell\}_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, recursively by

$$f_0 = -q,\ g_0 = -1/2,\ h_0 = p, \tag{2.15}$$

$$f_{\ell+1} = -f_{\ell,x} + 2qg_{\ell+1},\ \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{2.16}$$

$$g_{\ell+1,x} = pf_\ell + qh_\ell,\ \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{2.17}$$

$$h_{\ell+1} = h_{\ell,x} - 2pg_{\ell+1},\ \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{2.18}$$

Here we emphasize that q, p should be regarded as functions of infinitely many variables $(t_1, t_2, t_3, \ldots)$. Explicitly, one computes

$$\begin{aligned}
&f_0 = -q,\\
&f_1 = q_x + c_1(-q),\\
&f_2 = -q_{xx} + 2pq^2 + c_1q_x + c_2(-q),\\
&\cdots\cdots,\\
&g_0 = -1/2,\\
&g_1 = c_1(-1/2),\\
&g_2 = -pq + c_2(-1/2),\\
&g_3 = pq_x - p_xq + c_1(-pq) + c_3(-1/2),\\
&\cdots\cdots,\\
&h_0 = p,\\
&h_1 = p_x + c_1p,\\
&h_2 = p_{xx} - 2p^2q + c_1p_x + c_2p, \quad \text{etc.},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\{c_\ell\}_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{C}$ are integration constants. By introducing the homogeneous coefficients $\hat{f}_\ell, \hat{g}_\ell, \hat{h}_\ell$ by vanishing of the integration constants $c_k, k =$

$1, \ldots, \ell$,

$$\hat{f}_0 = -q, \quad \hat{f}_\ell = f_\ell|_{c_k=0,k=1,\ldots,\ell} \tag{2.19}$$

$$\hat{g}_0 = -1/2, \quad \hat{g}_\ell = g_\ell|_{c_k=0,k=1,\ldots,\ell} \tag{2.20}$$

$$\hat{h}_0 = p, \quad \hat{h}_\ell = h_\ell|_{c_k=0,k=1,\ldots,\ell} \tag{2.21}$$

we have

$$f_\ell = \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} c_{\ell-k}\hat{f}_k, \quad g_\ell = \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} c_{\ell-k}\hat{g}_k, \quad h_\ell = \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} c_{\ell-k}\hat{h}_k, \quad c_0 = 1. \tag{2.22}$$

Then one introduces

$$U(z) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{z}{2} & q \\ p & \frac{z}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{2.23}$$

$$V_{n+1}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} -G_{n+1}(z) & F_n(z) \\ -H_n(z) & G_{n+1}(z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{2.24}$$

where G_{n+1}, F_n, H_n are polynomials with respect to z :

$$G_{n+1}(z) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n+1} g_{n+1-\ell} z^\ell, \tag{2.25}$$

$$F_n(z) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n} f_{n-\ell} z^\ell, \tag{2.26}$$

$$H_n(z) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n} h_{n-\ell} z^\ell. \tag{2.27}$$

and corresponding homogeneous polynomials are defined by

$$\widehat{F}_0(z) = F_0(z) = -q,$$

$$\widehat{F}_\ell(z) = F_\ell(z)|_{c_k=0,k=1,\ldots,\ell} = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \hat{f}_{\ell-k} z^k, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{2.28}$$

$$\widehat{G}_0(z) = G_0(z) = -1/2,$$

$$\widehat{G}_{\ell+1}(z) = G_{\ell+1}(z)|_{c_k=0,k=1,\ldots,\ell+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell+1} \hat{f}_{\ell+1-k} z^k, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{2.29}$$

$$\widehat{H}_0(z) = H_0(z) = p,$$

$$\widehat{H}_\ell(z) = H_\ell(z)|_{c_k=0,k=1,\ldots,\ell} = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \hat{f}_{\ell-k} z^k, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.30}$$

For fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the stationary and time-dependent AKNS hierarchy are defined by demanding zero curvature equation

$$V_{n+1,x}(z) = [U(z), V_{n+1}(z)] \tag{2.31}$$

and

$$U_{t_{n+1}} - V_{n+1,x}(z) = [U(z), V_{n+1}(z)], \tag{2.32}$$

respectively. Equation (2.31) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & -V_{n+1,x} + [U(z), V_{n+1}(z)] \\ = & \begin{pmatrix} G_{n+1,x} - pF_n - qH_n & -F_{n,x} - zF_n + 2qG_{n+1} \\ H_{n,x} - zH_n - 2pG_{n+1} & -G_{n+1,x} + pF_n + qH_n \end{pmatrix} \\ = & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -f_{n+1} \\ -h_{n+1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

and hence stationary AKNS hierarchy can be introduced as follows

$$\text{s-AKNS}_{n+1}(q,p) = -\begin{pmatrix} h_{n+1}(q,p) \\ f_{n+1}(q,p) \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{2.34}$$

Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{s-AKNS}_1(q,p) &= \begin{pmatrix} -p_x + c_1(-p) \\ -q_x + c_1 q \end{pmatrix} = 0, \\ \text{s-AKNS}_2(q,p) &= \begin{pmatrix} -p_{xx} + 2p^2 q + c_1(-p_x) + c_2(-p) \\ q_{xx} - 2pq^2 + c_1(-q_x) + c_2 q \end{pmatrix} = 0, \\ \text{s-AKNS}_3(q,p) &= \begin{pmatrix} -p_{xxx} + 6pp_x q + c_1(-p_{xx} + 2p^2 q) + c_2(-p_x) + c_3(-p) \\ -q_{xxx} + 6pqq_x + c_1(q_{xx} - 2pq^2) + c_2(-q_x) + c_3 q \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad \text{etc.} \end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

Similarly, from (2.32) it follows

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & U_{t_{n+1}} - V_{n+1,x} + [U(z), V_{n+1}(z)] \\ = & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q_{t_{n+1}} - F_{n,x} - zF_n + 2qG_{n+1} \\ p_{t_{n+1}} + H_{n,x} - zH_n - 2pG_{n+1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ = & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q_{t_{n+1}} - f_{n+1} \\ p_{t_{n+1}} - h_{n+1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

Thus, one finally derives time-dependent AKNS hierarchy

$$\text{AKNS}_{n+1}(q,p) = \begin{pmatrix} p_{t_{n+1}} - h_{n+1}(q,p) \\ q_{t_{n+1}} - f_{n+1}(q,p) \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{2.37}$$

Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{AKNS}_1(q,p) &= \begin{pmatrix} p_{t_1} - p_x + c_1(-p) \\ q_{t_1} - q_x + c_1 q \end{pmatrix} = 0, \\
\mathrm{AKNS}_2(q,p) &= \begin{pmatrix} p_{t_2} - p_{xx} + 2p^2 q + c_1(-p_x) + c_2(-p) \\ q_{t_2} + q_{xx} - 2pq^2 + c_1(-q_x) + c_2 q \end{pmatrix} = 0, \qquad (2.38) \\
\mathrm{AKNS}_3(q,p) &= \begin{pmatrix} p_{t_3} - p_{xxx} + 6pp_x q + c_1(-p_{xx} + 2p^2 q) + c_2(-p_x) + c_3(-p) \\ q_{t_3} - q_{xxx} + 6pqq_x + c_1(q_{xx} - 2pq^2) + c_2(-q_x) + c_3 q \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad \text{etc.}
\end{aligned}$$

Next we turn to discuss the relations between KP and AKNS hierarchy. To this end we denote by

$$\widehat{\mathrm{AKNS}}_{n+1}(q,p) = \mathrm{AKNS}_{n+1}(q,p)|_{c_k=0,k=1,\ldots,n+1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \qquad (2.39)$$

the corresponding homogeneous AKNS equations.

Theorem 2.1 (see [53] or [9])**.** *Assume p, q is a compatible solution of the system*

$$\widehat{AKNS}_2(q,p) = 0, \qquad (2.40)$$

$$\widehat{AKNS}_{r+1}(q,p) = 0, \quad r \geq 2. \qquad (2.41)$$

Then

$$u(x,y,t_{r+1}) = -q(x,-y,(-1)^r t_{r+1}) p(x,-y,(-1)^r t_{r+1})$$

gives a solution of the nth KP equation.

Given these preparations, we turn to study the KP equations. Now q, p are considered as functions of variables t_1, t_2, t_{r+1} with $t_1 = x, t_2 = y$ and we shall start from the following auxiliary linear problem [1]

$$\begin{aligned}
&\psi_x(z) = U(z)\psi(z), \\
&\psi_y(z) = \widehat{V}_2(z)\psi(z), \qquad (2.43) \\
&\psi_{t_{r+1}}(z) = \widehat{V}_{r+1}(z)\psi(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad r \geq 2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{V}_k(z) = V_k(z)|_{c_\ell=0,\ell=1,\ldots,k}$, and $\psi(z) = (\psi_1(z,x,y,t_{r+1}), \psi_2(z,x,y,t_{r+1}))^T$. Let

$$\psi^{\pm}(z) = (\psi_1^{\pm}(z,x,y,t_{r+1}), \psi_2^{\pm}(z,x,y,t_{r+1}))$$

[1] One can also start from the following linear problems

$$\begin{aligned}
&\psi_x(z) = U(z)\psi(z), \\
&\psi_{t_{m+1}}(z) = \widehat{V}_{m+1}(z)\psi(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad m = 2,3,\ldots
\end{aligned} \qquad (2.42)$$

where q, p are considered as functions of $x, t_2, t_3, \ldots$ and obtain similar results.

be two fundamental solutions of linear system (2.43). Then we can define three squared basis functions $\mathscr{G}, \mathscr{F}, \mathscr{H}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{G}(z) &= \frac{1}{2}(\psi_1^+(z)\psi_2^-(z) + \psi_1^-(z)\psi_2^+(z)),\\ \mathscr{F}(z) &= \psi_1^+(z)\psi_1^-(z),\\ \mathscr{H}(z) &= \psi_2^+(z)\psi_2^-(z).\end{aligned} \tag{2.44}$$

Using (2.23), (2.24) and (2.43), one finds $\mathscr{G}, \mathscr{F}$, and $\mathscr{H}$, satisfy the following linear system

$$\mathscr{G}_x = p\mathscr{F} + q\mathscr{H}, \tag{2.45}$$

$$\mathscr{G}_y = \widehat{F}_1\mathscr{H} - \widehat{H}_1\mathscr{F}, \tag{2.46}$$

$$\mathscr{G}_{t_{r+1}} = \widehat{F}_r\mathscr{H} - \widehat{H}_r\mathscr{F}, \tag{2.47}$$

$$\mathscr{F}_x = 2q\mathscr{G} - z\mathscr{F}, \tag{2.48}$$

$$\mathscr{F}_y = 2\widehat{F}_1\mathscr{G} - 2\widehat{G}_2\mathscr{F}, \tag{2.49}$$

$$\mathscr{F}_{t_{r+1}} = 2\widehat{F}_r\mathscr{G} - 2\widehat{G}_{r+1}\mathscr{F}, \tag{2.50}$$

$$\mathscr{H}_x = 2p\mathscr{G} + z\mathscr{H}, \tag{2.51}$$

$$\mathscr{H}_y = 2\widehat{G}_2\mathscr{H} - 2\widehat{H}_1\mathscr{G}, \tag{2.52}$$

$$\mathscr{H}_{t_{r+1}} = 2\widehat{G}_{r+1}\mathscr{H} - 2\widehat{H}_r\mathscr{G}. \tag{2.53}$$

For fixed r, solutions of linear system (2.45)-(2.53) are connected with the following basic initial value problem of AKNS system (2.55).

Theorem 2.1. *Assume $q, p \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2+1})$. Moreover, suppose q, p is a solution satisfying (2.40), (2.41). Then the collection of polynomials*

$$\{(\mathscr{G}, \mathscr{F}, \mathscr{H})|\ (G_{j+1}, F_j, H_j),\ j \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \tag{2.54}$$

gives a sequence of special solutions for (2.45)-(2.53). In particular, $(G_{n+1}$, $F_n, H_n)$,$n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, corresponds to solutions of the following initial problem

$$\begin{cases} q_y - \hat{f}_2 = 0,\\ p_y - \hat{h}_2 = 0,\\ q_{t_{r+1}} - \hat{f}_{r+1} = 0,\\ p_{t_{r+1}} - \hat{h}_{r+1} = 0,\\ -f_{n+1} = 0,\\ -h_{n+1} = 0, \quad r \geq 2. \end{cases} \tag{2.55}$$

Proof. From (2.31) and (2.32) we know (2.55) is equivalent to the following zero curvature representation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}V_j(z) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}U(z) = [U(z), V_j(z)],\ j = 2, r+1, n+1, \tag{2.56}$$

introducing $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{n+1}} = 0$. Thus, it suffices to show

$$[V_{j+1}(z) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}, V_{k+1}(z) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k}] = 0, \quad j,\ k = 2, r+1, n+1. \tag{2.57}$$

and we proceed to prove this as follows. Define 2×2 matrix-valued differential expression

$$L = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} \frac{d}{dx} & -q \\ p & \frac{d}{dx} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.58}$$

$$P_{n+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \begin{pmatrix} -g_{n+1-\ell} & f_{n-\ell} \\ -h_{n-\ell} & g_{n+1-\ell} \end{pmatrix} L^{\ell},\ n \in \mathbb{N}_0,\ f_{-1} = h_{-1} = 0. \tag{2.59}$$

Then it is not difficult to verify that (2.56) is equivalent to

$$[P_{j+1} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}, L] = 0, \quad j = 2, r+1, n+1.$$

By Corollary 2 of Theorem 4.2 in [40] it follows

$$[P_{j+1} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}, P_{k+1} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k}] = 0, \quad j,\ k = 2, r+1, n+1.$$

and hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}[V_{j+1}(z) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}, V_{k+1}(z) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k}] &= [P_{j+1} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}, P_{k+1} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k}]|_{\ker(M-z)} \\ &= 0, \quad j,\ k = 1, \ldots, m, \end{aligned} \tag{2.60}$$

where

$$\ker(M-z) = \{\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} : \mathbb{R}^{s+1} \to \mathbb{C}^2_\infty | (M-z)\Psi = 0\},\ z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

This completes the proof. □

Remark 2.2. *This proposition can also be proved by introducing a fundamental meromorphic function ϕ on Riemann surface X, where q, p should be considered as functions of x, y, t_{r+1} (see [23]).*

3 Spectral curve, Dubrovin-type equations and trace formula

In what follows, we shall introduce the spectral curve associated with KP hierarchy. To emphasize the difference between different solutions in (2.54), we add a subscript in each of $\mathscr{G}, \mathscr{F}, \mathscr{H}$, that is,

$$(\mathscr{G}_{n+1}, \mathscr{F}_n, \mathscr{H}_n) = (G_{n+1}, F_n, H_n),\ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then using (2.45)-(2.53) and theorem 2.1, we have

$$(\mathscr{G}_{n+1}^2 - \mathscr{F}_n \mathscr{H}_n)_{t_j} = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},\ j = 1, 2, t_{r+1}, \tag{3.1}$$

and hence $\mathscr{G}_{n+1}^2 - \mathscr{F}_n \mathscr{H}_n$ is x, y, t_{r+1}-independent implying

$$\mathscr{G}_{n+1}^2 - \mathscr{F}_n \mathscr{H}_n = \mathscr{R}_{2n+2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{3.2}$$

where integration constant $\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}$ is a polynomial of degree $2n+2$. Let

$$\begin{gathered} \mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{2n+2-j} (-1)^j s_j z^{2n+2-j}, \\ s_0 = 1/4,\ s_j \in \mathbb{C}, j = 1, \ldots, 2n, \end{gathered} \tag{3.3}$$

and hyperelliptic curve associated with the rth KP equation is then introduced as follows:

$$X : \mathscr{P}(z, y) = y^2 - 4\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z) = y^2 - 4\mathscr{G}_{n+1}^2 + 4\mathscr{F}_n \mathscr{H}_n = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

The curve X is compactified by joining two points $P_{\infty\pm}$ at infinity but for notational simplicity the compactification is also denoted by X. Points P on $X \backslash \{P_{\infty\pm}\}$ are denote by pairs (z, y), where $y(\cdot)$ is the meromorphic function on X satisfying $\mathscr{P}(z, y) = 0$. The complex structure on X is then defined in the usual way. Hence, X becomes a two-sheeted hyperelliptic Riemann surface of (arithmetic) genus n in a standard manner. Moreover, we denote the upper and lower sheets $\Pi_\pm$ by

$$\Pi_\pm = \{(z, \pm 2\sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z)}) \in X | z \in \Pi\},$$

where Π denotes the cut plane $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ is the union of n nonintersecting cuts joining two different branches of $\sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z)}$. The holomorphic sheet exchange map on X is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &* : \quad X \to X, \\ &P = (z, 2\sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z)}) \mapsto P^* = (z, -2\sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z)}), \\ &P_{\infty\pm} \mapsto P_{\infty\pm}^* = P_{\infty\mp}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, positive divisors on X of degree n are denoted by

$$\mathcal{D}_{P_1,\ldots,P_{r-2}}:\begin{cases} X\to\mathbb{N}_0,\\ P\to\mathcal{D}_{P_1,\ldots,P_n}(P)=\begin{cases} k \text{ if } P \text{ occurs } k \text{ times in } \{P_1,\ldots,P_n\},\\ 0 \text{ if } P\notin\{P_1,\ldots,P_n\}.\end{cases}\end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

In particular, the divisor $(\phi(\cdot))$ of a meromorphic function $\phi(\cdot)$ on X is defined by

$$(\phi(\cdot)):X\to\mathbb{Z},\quad P\mapsto\omega_\phi(P), \tag{3.6}$$

where $\omega_\phi(P)=m_0\in\mathbb{Z}$ if $(\phi\circ\zeta_P^{-1})(\zeta)=\sum_{n=m_0}^{\infty}c_n(P)\zeta^n$ for some $m_0\in\mathbb{Z}$ by using a chart (U_P,ζ_P) near $P\in X$. Finally, we introduce symmetric functions of $x_1,\ldots,x_n$

$$\begin{aligned}&\Psi_0(\underline{x})=1,\quad \Psi_1(\underline{x})=\sum_{j=1}^{n}x_j,\quad \Psi_2(\underline{x})=\sum_{j,k=1,j<k}^{n}x_jx_k,\\ &\Psi_3(\underline{x})=\sum\nolimits_{j,k,\ell=1,j<k<\ell}^{n}x_jx_kx_\ell,\quad \text{etc.},\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$\underline{x}=(x_1,\ldots,x_n).$$

Now we turn to the parameter representations of $\mathscr{G}_{n+1},\mathscr{F}_n,\mathscr{H}_n$, which are described by the evolution of auxiliary spectrum points $\mu_j(x,y,t_{r+1})$, $\nu_j(x,y,t_{r+1}),j=1,\ldots,n$. This procedure is standard, which is similar with 1+1 dimensional case.

Theorem 3.1. *Solutions of (2.45)-(2.53) can also be expressed as*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{G}_{n+1}&=\sum_{j=0}^{n+1}(-1)^j\dot{g}_j(x,y,t_{r+1})z^{n+1-j},\\ \mathscr{F}_n&=-q(x,y,t_{r+1})\prod_{j=1}^{n}(z-\mu_j(x,y,t_{r+1})),\\ \mathscr{H}_n&=p(x,y,t_{r+1})\prod_{j=1}^{n}(z-\nu_j(x,y,t_{r+1})),\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dot g_0 = 1/2,\ \dot g_1 = s_1,\\
&\dot g_2 = -pq - s_1^2 + s_2,\\
&\dot g_j = -\sum_{\nu=1}^{j-1} \dot g_\nu \dot g_{j-\nu} - pq \sum_{\alpha+\beta=j} \Psi_\alpha(\underline{\mu})\Psi_{j-\beta}(\underline{\nu}) + s_j,\ s=3,\dots,n+1,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

and $\{\mu_j(x,y,t_{r+1})\}_{j=1}^n, \{\nu_j(x,y,t_{r+1})\}_{j=1}^n$ *are* n *roots of* $\mathscr{F}_n, \mathscr{H}_n$*, respectively. In particular, if* $\{\mu_j(x,y,t_{r+1})\}_{j=1}^n$ *are mutually distinct and finite, then they satisfy the Dubrovin-type equations*

$$\mu_{j,x} = -\frac{2\sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(\mu_j)}}{\prod_{k\neq j}(\mu_j-\mu_k)}, \tag{3.10}$$

$$\mu_{j,y} = -\frac{2\widehat{F}_1(\mu_j)\sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(\mu_j)}}{q\prod_{k\neq j}(\mu_j-\mu_k)}, \tag{3.11}$$

$$\mu_{j,t_{r+1}} = -\frac{2\widehat{F}_r(\mu_j)\sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(\mu_j)}}{q\prod_{k\neq j}(\mu_j-\mu_k)}, \tag{3.12}$$

and similar statement is also true for $\{\nu_j(x,y,t_{r+1})\}_{j=1}^n$*, where (3.10)-(3.12) change to*

$$\nu_{j,x} = -\frac{2\sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(\nu_j)}}{\prod_{k\neq j}(\nu_j-\nu_k)}, \tag{3.13}$$

$$\nu_{j,y} = -\frac{2\widehat{H}_1(\mu_j)\sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(\mu_j)}}{p\prod_{k\neq j}(\mu_j-\mu_k)}, \tag{3.14}$$

$$\nu_{j,t_{r+1}} = -\frac{2\widehat{H}_2(\mu_j)\sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(\mu_j)}}{p\prod_{k\neq j}(\mu_j-\mu_k)}. \tag{3.15}$$

Finally, q, p *and* μ_j, ν_j *are connected by the following trace formula*

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j = \frac{q_x}{q} - 2s_1, \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \nu_j = -\frac{p_x}{p} + 2s_1. \tag{3.16}$$

Proof. First, insertion of (3.8) into (3.2), (3.3) and a comparison powers of z yields (3.9). Then by (2.48), (2.49), (2.50), (2.51), (2.52) and (2.53), taking into account (3.4), (3.8), one derives (3.10)-(3.15). Moreover, combining (2.25) with (3.9) yields relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dot g_j = (-1)^j g_j,\ \ c_1 = -2s_1,\ \ c_2 = 2(s_2 - s_1^2),\\
&c_3 = 4s_1(s_2 - s_1^2) - 2s_3,\ \ \text{etc.}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

and formula (3.16) is the direct result of (3.8), (3.17) and theorem 2.1. □

4 Baker-Akhiezer function

Baker-Akhiezer function plays a very important role in finite gap integration of soliton equations and it permits us to obtain the Riemann theta function representation for solutions of a given equation and there are numerous articles have been devoted to this subject [4], [31], [12], [24]. In this section, we shall construct the explicit form of "Baker-Akhiezer function" associated with the rth KP equation, which consists of spectral parameter z, potentials q, p, and then study its analytic properties. Moreover, we will find the conservation relations of soliton equations play a key role in the construction of Baker-Akhiezer function, which reflects symmetry is the intrinsic character of classical integrable system.

To find explicit form of Baker-Akhiezer function

$$\psi(P) = (\psi_1(P, x, y, t_{r+1}), \psi_2(P, x, y, t_{r+1})),\ P \in X,$$

which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi_x(P) = U(z)\psi(P),\ \psi_y(P) = \widehat{V}_2(z)\psi(P),\ \psi_{t_{r+1}}(P) = \widehat{V}_{r+1}(z)\psi(P), \\ &\psi_1(P, x_0, y_0, t_{r+1,0}) = 1, \quad (P, x_0, y_0, t_{r+1,0}) \in X \times \mathbb{R}^3, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

we need some preparations.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose $q, p \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2+1})$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $\mathscr{G}_{n+1}, \mathscr{F}_n, \mathscr{H}_n$ and basic fundamental solutions $(\psi_1^\pm, \psi_2^\pm)$ of linear system (4.1) have the following algebraic relation:*

$$(\psi_1^+(z)\psi_2^-(z) - \psi_1^-(z)\psi_2^+(z))^2 = 4\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}^2(z). \tag{4.2}$$

If we take $\psi_1^+(z)\psi_2^-(z) - \psi_1^-(z)\psi_2^+(z) = 2\sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z)}$, then

$$\psi_1^+(z)\psi_2^-(z) = \mathscr{G}_{n+1}(z) + \sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z)}, \tag{4.3}$$

$$\psi_1^-(z)\psi_2^+(z) = \mathscr{G}_{n+1}(z) - \sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z)}, \tag{4.4}$$

$$\frac{\psi_2^\pm(z)}{\psi_1^\pm(z)} = \frac{\mathscr{H}_n(z)}{\mathscr{G}_{n+1}(z) \pm \sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z)}} = \frac{\mathscr{G}_{n+1} \mp \sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z)}}{\mathscr{F}_n}. \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. Expressions (4.2)-(4.5) can easily be verified by (2.44), (3.2). □

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose $q, p \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2+1})$. Then we have the following relations*

$$\big[\frac{q(x,y,t_{r+1})}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x,y,t_{r+1})}\big]_{t_{j+1}} = \big[\frac{\widehat{F}_j(z,x,y,t_{r+1})}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x,y,t_{r+1})}\big]_x, \tag{4.6}$$

$$\big[\frac{p(x,y,t_{r+1})}{\mathscr{H}_n(z,x,y,t_{r+1})}\big]_{t_{j+1}} = -\big[\frac{\widehat{H}_j(z,x,y,t_{r+1})}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x,y,t_{r+1})}\big]_x, \quad j=1,r, \tag{4.7}$$

$$\big[\frac{\widehat{F}_1(z,x,y,t_{r+1})}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x,y,t_{r+1})}\big]_{t_{r+1}} = \big[\frac{\widehat{F}_2(z,x,y,t_{r+1})}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x,y,t_{r+1})}\big]_y, \tag{4.8}$$

$$\big[\frac{\widehat{H}_1(z,x,y,t_{r+1})}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x,y,t_{r+1})}\big]_{t_{r+1}} = \big[\frac{\widehat{H}_2(z,x,y,t_{r+1})}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x,y,t_{r+1})}\big]_y. \tag{4.9}$$

Proof. The proof is straightforward. By (2.49), (2.50) and

$$q_{t_{j+1}} - \widehat{F}_{j,x} - z\widehat{F}_j + 2q\widehat{G}_{j+1} = 0, \quad j=1,r, \tag{4.10}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big[\frac{q}{\mathscr{F}_n}\Big]_{t_j} &= \frac{q_{t_j}}{\mathscr{F}_n} - \frac{q\mathscr{F}_{n,t_j}}{\mathscr{F}_n^2} \\
&= \frac{\widehat{F}_{j,x} + z\widehat{F}_j - 2q\widehat{G}_{j+1}}{\mathscr{F}_n} - \frac{q(2\widehat{F}_j\mathscr{G}_{n+1} - 2\widehat{G}_{j+1}\mathscr{F}_n)}{\mathscr{F}_n^2} \\
&= \frac{\widehat{F}_{j,x} + z\widehat{F}_j\mathscr{F}_n - 2q\widehat{F}_j\mathscr{G}_{n+1}}{\mathscr{F}_n^2} \\
&= \frac{\widehat{F}_{j,x} - \widehat{F}_j\mathscr{F}_{n,x}}{\mathscr{F}_n^2} = \big[\frac{\widehat{F}_j}{\mathscr{F}_n}\big]_x, \quad j=1,r.
\end{aligned}$$

and (4.7)-(4.9) follows in a similar manner. □

Now we lift the fundamental solution $(\psi_1^\pm(z), \psi_2^\pm(z))$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, to Riemann surface X. Define the Baker-Akhiezer function by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\psi_j(P,x,y,t_{r+1}) = \psi_j^+(z,x,y,t_{r+1}), \quad j=1,2, \quad y(P) = 2\sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z)}, \quad \text{for} \quad P \in \Pi_+, \\
&\psi_j(P,x,y,t_{r+1}) = \psi_j^-(z,x,y,t_{r+1}), \quad j=1,2, \quad y(P) = -2\sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z)}, \quad \text{for} \quad P \in \Pi_-, \\
&\lim_{P\to P_0} \psi_j(P,x,t_{r+1}) = \lim_{P\to P_0} \psi_j(P^*,x,t_{r+1}), \quad \text{for} \quad j=1,2, \quad P \in \Pi_\pm, \quad P_0 \in \mathcal{C},
\end{aligned}$$

where we choose the branches of $\sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(\cdot)}$ satisfying

$$\lim_{z\to\infty} \frac{\mathscr{G}_{n+1}}{\sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z)}} = 1.$$

Moreover, let

$$\hat{\mu}_j(x,y,t) = \Big(\mu_j(x,y,t_{r+1}), -2\sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(\mu_j(x,y,t_{r+1}))}\Big) \in \Pi_-,$$
$$\hat{\nu}_j(x,y,t) = \Big(\nu_j(x,y,t_{r+1}), 2\sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(\mu_j(x,y,t_{r+1}))}\Big) \in \Pi_+, \quad j=1,\ldots,n.$$

Based on above preparations, we shall study explicit forms of Baker-Akhiezer function $\psi_j(P), j=1,2$.

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose $q,p \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2+1})$. Then Baker-Akhiezer function satisfying condition (4.1) can be expressed as*

$$\psi_1(P,x,y,t_{r+1}) = \sqrt{\frac{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x,y,t_{r+1})}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})}}\exp\Big(-\frac{1}{2}\int_{x_0}^{x}\frac{q(x',y,t_{r+1})y(P)}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x',y,t_{r+1})}dx' - \frac{y(P)}{2}\int_{y_0}^{y}\frac{\widehat{F}_1(z,x_0,y',t_{r+1})}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x_0,y',t_{r+1})}dy' - \frac{y(P)}{2}\int_{t_{r+1,0}}^{t_{r+1}}\frac{\widehat{F}_r(z,x_0,y_0,t')}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x_0,y_0,t')}dt'\Big), \tag{4.11}$$

$$\psi_2(P,x,y,t_{r+1}) = \sqrt{\frac{\mathscr{H}_n(z,x,y,t_{r+1})}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})}}\exp\Big(\frac{1}{2}\int_{x_0}^{x}\frac{p(x',y,t_{r+1})y(P)}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x',y,t)}dx' - \frac{y(P)}{2}\int_{y_0}^{y}\frac{\widehat{H}_1(z,x_0,y',t)}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x_0,y',t)}dy' - \frac{y(P)}{2}\int_{t_{r+1,0}}^{t_{r+1}}\frac{\widehat{H}_r(z,x_0,y_0,t')}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x_0,y_0,t')}dt'\Big). \tag{4.12}$$

Proof. By (2.43), (2.48)-(2.53), (4.5), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_{1,x}^{\pm}(z) =& -\frac{z}{2}\psi_1^{\pm}(z) + q\psi_2^{\pm}(z)\\
=& \left[\left(-\frac{q\mathscr{G}_{n+1}(z)}{\mathscr{F}_n(z)} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathscr{F}_{n,x}(z)}{\mathscr{F}_n(z)}\right) + q\frac{\psi_2^{\pm}(z)}{\psi_1^{\pm}(z)}\right]\psi_1^{\pm}(z)\\
=& \left[\left(-\frac{q\mathscr{G}_{n+1}(z)}{\mathscr{F}_n(z)} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathscr{F}_{n,x}(z)}{\mathscr{F}_n(z)}\right) + q\frac{\mathscr{H}_n(z)}{\mathscr{G}_{n+1}(z) \pm \sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z)}}\right]\psi_1^{\pm}(z)\\
=& \frac{\mp q\sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z)} + \frac{1}{2}\mathscr{F}_{n,x}(z)}{\mathscr{F}_n(z)}\psi_1^{\pm}(z), \qquad (4.13)\\
\psi_{1,t_{j+1}}^{\pm}(z) =& -\widehat{G}_{j+1}(z)\psi_1^{\pm}(z) + \widehat{F}_j(z)\psi_2^{\pm}(z)\\
=& \left[\left(-\frac{\widehat{F}_j(z)\mathscr{G}_{n+1}(z)}{\mathscr{F}_n(z)} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathscr{F}_{n,t_{j+1}}(z)}{\mathscr{F}_n(z)}\right) + \widehat{F}_j(z)\frac{\psi_2^{\pm}(z)}{\psi_1^{\pm}(z)}\right]\psi_1^{\pm}(z)\\
=& \left[\left(-\frac{\widehat{F}_j(z)\mathscr{G}_{n+1}(z)}{\mathscr{F}_n(z)} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathscr{F}_{n,t_{j+1}(z)}}{\mathscr{F}_n(z)}\right) + \widehat{F}_j(z)\frac{\mathscr{H}_n(z)}{\mathscr{G}_{n+1}(z) \pm \sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z)}}\right]\psi_1^{\pm}(z)\\
=& \frac{\mp\widehat{F}_j(z)\sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z)} + \frac{1}{2}\mathscr{F}_{n,t_{j+1}}(z)}{\mathscr{F}_n(z)}\psi_1^{\pm}(z), \quad j = 1, r, \qquad (4.14)
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_{2,x}^{\pm}(z) =& p\psi_1^{\pm}(z) + \frac{z}{2}\psi_2^{\pm}(z)\\
=& \left[p\frac{\psi_1^{\pm}(z)}{\psi_2^{\pm}(z)} + \left(\frac{\mathscr{H}_{n,x}(z)}{2\mathscr{H}_n(z)} - \frac{p\mathscr{G}_{n+1}(z)}{\mathscr{H}_n(z)}\right)\right]\psi_2^{\pm}(z)\\
=& \left[p\frac{\mathscr{G}_{n+1}(z) \pm \sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z)}}{\mathscr{H}_n(z)} + \left(\frac{\mathscr{H}_{n,x}(z)}{2\mathscr{H}_n(z)} - \frac{p\mathscr{G}_{n+1}(z)}{\mathscr{H}_n(z)}\right)\right]\psi_2^{\pm}(z)\\
=& \frac{\pm p\sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z)} + \frac{1}{2}\mathscr{H}_{n,x}(z)}{\mathscr{H}_n(z)}\psi_2^{\pm}(z), \qquad (4.15)\\
\psi_{2,t_{j+1}}^{\pm}(z) =& -\widehat{H}_j(z)\psi_1^{\pm}(z) + \widehat{G}_{j+1}(z)\psi_2^{\pm}(z)\\
=& \left[-\widehat{H}_j(z)\frac{\psi_1^{\pm}(z)}{\psi_2^{\pm}(z)} + \left(\frac{\mathscr{H}_{n,t_{j+1}}(z)}{2\mathscr{H}_n(z)} + \widehat{H}_j(z)\frac{\mathscr{G}_{n+1}(z)}{\mathscr{H}_n(z)}\right)\right]\psi_2^{\pm}(z)\\
=& \left[-\widehat{H}_j(z)\frac{\mathscr{G}_{n+1}(z) \pm \sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z)}}{\mathscr{H}_n(z)} + \left(\frac{\mathscr{H}_{n,t_{j+1}}(z)}{2\mathscr{H}_n(z)} + \widehat{H}_j(z)\frac{\mathscr{G}_{n+1}(z)}{\mathscr{H}_n(z)}\right)\right]\psi_2^{\pm}(z)\\
=& \frac{\mp\widehat{H}_j(z)\sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z)} + \frac{1}{2}\mathscr{H}_{n,t_{j+1}}(z)}{\mathscr{H}_n(z)}\psi_2^{\pm}(z), \quad j = 1, r. \qquad (4.16)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$d\ln(\psi_1^{\pm}(z,x,y,t_{r+1})) = \left(\frac{\mp q\sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z)}+\frac{1}{2}\mathscr{F}_{n,x}(z)}{\mathscr{F}_n(z)}\right)dx + \left(\frac{\mp \widehat{F}_1(z)\sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z)}+\frac{1}{2}\mathscr{F}_{n,y}(z)}{\mathscr{F}_n(z)}\right)dy + \left(\frac{\mp \widehat{F}_2(z)\sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z)}+\frac{1}{2}\mathscr{F}_{n,t_{r+1}}(z)}{\mathscr{F}_n(z)}\right)dt_{r+1} \tag{4.17}$$

and

$$d\ln(\psi_2^{\pm}(z,x,y,t_{r+1})) = \left(\frac{\pm p\sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z)}+\frac{1}{2}\mathscr{H}_{n,x}(z)}{\mathscr{H}_n(z)}\right)dx + \left(\frac{\mp \widehat{H}_1(z)\sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z)}+\frac{1}{2}\mathscr{H}_{n,y}(z)}{\mathscr{H}_n(z)}\right)dy + \left(\frac{\mp \widehat{H}_2(z)\sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z)}+\frac{1}{2}\mathscr{H}_{n,t_{r+1}}(z)}{\mathscr{H}_n(z)}\right)dt_{r+1}. \tag{4.18}$$

According to relations (4.7)-(4.9), it follows that the integrals

$$\int_{(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})}^{(x,y,t_{r+1})} d\ln\Big(\frac{\psi_j^{\pm}(z,x,y,t_{r+1})}{\sqrt{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x,y,t_{r+1})}}\Big), \quad j=1,2 \tag{4.19}$$

is independent of the path. Therefore taking into account the normalization condition $\psi_1(P,x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})=1$, and choosing a special path

$$(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})\to(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1})\to(x_0,y,t_{r+1})\to(x,y,t_{r+1})$$

in (4.19), we finally obtain (4.11) and (4.12). □

Basic properties of Baker-Akhiezer functions $\psi_j(P), j=1,2$ are summarized in the following result.

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose $q,p\in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2+1})$ and $P\in X\backslash\{P_{\infty\pm}\}$. Then the*

Baker-Akhiezer function derived in (4.11), (4.12) satisfy

$$\psi_1(P,x,y,t_{r+1})\psi_1(P^*,x,y,t_{r+1}) = \frac{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x,y,t_{r+1})}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})}, \tag{4.20}$$

$$\psi_2(P,x,y,t_{r+1})\psi_2(P^*,x,y,t_{r+1}) = \frac{\mathscr{H}_n(z,x,y,t_{r+1})}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})}, \tag{4.21}$$

$$\psi_1(P,x,y,t_{r+1})\psi_2(P^*,x,y,t_{r+1}) = \frac{\mathscr{G}_{n+1}(z,x,y,t) + \sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z)}}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})}, \tag{4.22}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\psi_1(P,x,y,t_{r+1})\psi_2(P^*,x,y,t_{r+1}) + \psi_1(P^*,x,y,t_{r+1})\psi_2(P,x,y,t_{r+1})\\ &\qquad = \frac{2\mathscr{G}_{n+1}(z,x,y,t_{r+1})}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})},\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\psi_1(P,x,y,t_{r+1})\psi_2(P^*,x,y,t_{r+1}) - \psi_1(P^*,x,y,t_{r+1})\psi_2(P,x,y,t_{r+1})\\ &\qquad = \frac{2\sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z)}}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

Proof. It can be easily seen that (4.20) and (4.21) hold by (4.11) and (4.12), respectively. Then from (4.20), (4.21) and the fact that

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}(\psi_1(P)\psi_2(P^*) + \psi_1(P^*)\psi_2(P)), \psi_1(P)\psi_1(P^*), \psi_2(P)\psi_2(P^*)\right)$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\mathscr{G}_{n+1}(z,x,y,t_{r+1})}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})}, \frac{\mathscr{F}(z,x,y,t_{r+1})}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})}, \frac{\mathscr{H}_n(z,x,y,t_{r+1})}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})}\right)$$

are both solutions of linear system (2.45)-(2.53) satisfying the same initial condition, one gets (4.23). Using (4.20), (4.21) and (4.23), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\psi_1(P,x,y,t_{r+1})\psi_2(P^*,x,y,t_{r+1}) - \psi_1(P^*,x,y,t_{r+1})\psi_2(P,x,y,t_{r+1})\\ =&\sqrt{(\psi_1(P)\psi_2(P^*) + \psi_1(P^*)\psi_2(P))^2 - 4\psi_1(P)\psi_1(P^*)\psi_2(P)\psi_2(P^*)}\\ =&\frac{2\sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z)}}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

Finally, (4.22) is the direct result of (4.23), (4.24). □

Next we consider the analytic property and asymptotic behavior of $\psi_1(P)\psi_2(P^*)$, and $\psi_j(P), j = 1, 2$.

Lemma 4.5. *The function $\psi_1(P)\psi_2(P^*)$ is a meromorphic function on X with divisor*

$$(\psi_1(P)\psi_2(P^*)) = \mathcal{D}_{\underline{\hat{\nu}}^*(x,y,t)\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x,y,t)P_{\infty+}} - \mathcal{D}_{\underline{\hat{\mu}}^*(x_0,y_0,t_0)\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_0)P_{\infty-}}, \quad (4.26)$$

where we abbreviate $\underline{\hat{\mu}} = (\hat{\mu}_1, \ldots, \hat{\mu}_n), \underline{\hat{\nu}} = (\hat{\nu}_1, \ldots, \hat{\nu}_n)$. Moreover, using the local coordinate $\zeta = z^{-1}$ near $P_{\infty\pm}$, we have

$$\psi_1(P,x,y,t)\psi_2(P^*,x,y,t) \overset{\zeta\to 0}{=} \begin{cases} \frac{1}{q(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})}\zeta^{-1} + O(1), & as \ \ P \to P_{\infty+}, \\ -\frac{p(x,y,t_{r+1})q(x,y,t_{r+1})}{q(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})}\zeta + O(\zeta^2), & as \ \ P \to P_{\infty-}. \end{cases} \quad (4.27)$$

Proof. Noticing (3.2), (3.4), (4.22) and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1(P,x,y,t_{r+1})\psi_2(P^*,x,y,t_{r+1}) &= \frac{\mathscr{G}_{n+1}(z,x,y,t_{r+1}) + \sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z)}}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})} \\ &= \frac{\mathscr{G}_{n+1}(z,x,y,t_{r+1}) + \sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z)}}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x,y,t_{r+1})} \frac{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x,y,t_{r+1})}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})} \\ &= \frac{\mathscr{H}_n(z,x,y,t_{r+1})}{\mathscr{G}_{n+1}(z,x,y,t_{r+1}) - \sqrt{\mathscr{R}_{2n+2}(z)}} \frac{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x,y,t_{r+1})}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})}, \end{aligned}$$

one easily proves (4.26) and (4.27). □

Now we turn to study the analytic structure of $\psi_j(P,x,y,t_{r+1})$ on $X\backslash\{P_{\infty\pm}\}$.

Theorem 4.6. *Assume auxiliary spectrum points $\mu_j(x,y,t_{r+1})$, $\nu_j(x,y,t_{r+1})$, $j = 1,\ldots,n$, are mutually distinct and finite for all $(x,y,t_{r+1}) \in \Omega$, where $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is an open interval. Moreover, let $P \in X\backslash\{P_{\infty\pm}\}$. Then*

- ***I.*** *$\psi_1(P,x,y,t_{r+1})$ and $\psi_2(P,x,y,t_{r+1})$ are meromorphic on $P \in X\backslash\{P_{\infty\pm}\}$. Their divisor of poles coincides with $\mathcal{D}_{\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})}$.*
- ***II.*** *The divisor of zeros for $\psi_1(P,x,y,t_{r+1})$ and $\psi_2(P,x,y,t_{r+1})$ coincides with $\mathcal{D}_{\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\underline{\hat{\nu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})}$, respectively.*
- ***III.*** *As $P \to P_{\infty\pm}$, the asymptotic behavior of $(\psi_1(P,x,y,t_{r+1}), \psi_2(P,x,y,t_{r+1}))^T$*

is given by the equations,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(P,x,y,t_{r+1}) \\ \psi_2(P,x,y,t_{r+1}) \end{pmatrix} = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{q(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})} \end{pmatrix} \zeta^{-1} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{q(x,y,t_{r+1})}{q(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})} \\ O(1) \end{pmatrix} + O(\zeta) \right] \times \exp\Big(\frac{1}{2}(x-x_0)\zeta^{-1} - \frac{1}{2}(y-y_0)\zeta^{-2} - \frac{1}{2}(t_{r+1}-t_{r+1,0})\zeta^{-(r+1)} \Big), \quad at \quad P \to P_{\infty-}, \tag{4.28}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(P,x,y,t_{r+1}) \\ \psi_2(P,x,y,t_{r+1}) \end{pmatrix} = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -p(x,y,t_{r+1}) \end{pmatrix} \zeta + O(\zeta^2) \right] \times \exp\Big(-\frac{1}{2}(x-x_0)\zeta^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}(y-y_0)\zeta^{-2} + \frac{1}{2}(t-t_{r+1,0})\zeta^{-(r+1)} \Big), \quad at \quad P \to P_{\infty+}. \tag{4.29}$$

Proof. First we study the function ψ_1. By (3.10), (3.11), (3.12) it follows

$$-\frac{1}{2}\frac{q(x',y,t_{r+1})y(P)}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x',y,t_{r+1})} \overset{P\to\hat{\mu}_j(x',y,t_{r+1})}{=} \partial_{x'} \ln \sqrt{z-\mu_j(x',y,t_{r+1})}, \tag{4.30}$$

$$-\frac{y(P)}{2}\frac{\widehat{F}_1(z,x_0,y',t_{r+1})}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x_0,y',t_{r+1})} \overset{P\to\hat{\mu}_j(x_0,y',t_{r+1})}{=} \partial_{y'} \ln \sqrt{z-\mu_j(x_0,y',t_{r+1})}, \tag{4.31}$$

$$-\frac{y(P)}{2}\frac{\widehat{F}_r(z,x_0,y_0,t')}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x_0,y_0,t')} \overset{P\to\hat{\mu}_j(x_0,y_0,t')}{=} \partial_{t'} \ln \sqrt{z-\mu_j(x_0,y_0,t')}, \tag{4.32}$$

and hence one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\exp\Big(-\frac{1}{2}\int_{x_0}^{x}\frac{q(x',y,t_{r+1})y(P)}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x',y,t_{r+1})}dx'-\frac{y(P)}{2}\int_{y_0}^{y}\frac{\widehat{F}_1(z,x_0,y',t_{r+1})}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x_0,y',t_{r+1})}dy'\\
&-\frac{y(P)}{2}\int_{t_{r+1,0}}^{t_{r+1}}\frac{\widehat{F}_r(z,x_0,y_0,t')}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x_0,y_0,t')}dt'\Big)\\
=&\exp\Big(\int_{x_0}^{x}\partial_{x'}\ln\sqrt{z-\mu_j(x',y,t_{r+1})}dx'+\int_{y_0}^{y}\partial_{y'}\ln\sqrt{z-\mu_j(x_0,y',t_{r+1})}dy'\\
&+\int_{t_{r+1,0}}^{t_{r+1}}\partial_{t'}\ln\sqrt{z-\mu_j(x_0,y_0,t')}dt'+O(1)\Big)\\
=&\begin{cases}\sqrt{z-\mu_j(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})}^{-1}, & P\to\hat{\mu}_j(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0}),\\ \sqrt{z-\mu_j(x,y,t_{r+1})}, & P\to\hat{\mu}_j(x,y,t_{r+1})\neq\hat{\mu}_j(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0}),\\ O(1), & P\to\hat{\mu}_j(x,y,t_{r+1})=\hat{\mu}_j(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0}),\\ O(1), & P\to\text{other points}\neq\hat{\mu}_j(x,y,t_{r+1}),\ \hat{\mu}_j(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0}).\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.33}
$$

Then taking into account (4.11), one proves **I.** and **II.** for ψ_1. Next we study the asymptotic behaviour of ψ_1 near $P_{\infty\pm}$. Again using (4.11) and

local coordinate $\zeta = z^{-1}$ near $P_{\infty\pm}$, one infers

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_1(P,x,y,t_{r+1}) =& \sqrt{\frac{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x,y,t_{r+1})}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})}}\exp\Big(-\frac{1}{2}\int_{x_0}^{x}\frac{q(x',y,t_{r+1})y(P)}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x',y,t_{r+1})}dx' \\
&-\frac{y(P)}{2}\int_{y_0}^{y}\frac{\widehat{F}_1(z,x_0,y',t_{r+1})}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x_0,y',t_{r+1})}dy' - \frac{y(P)}{2}\int_{t_{r+1,0}}^{t_{r+1}}\frac{\widehat{F}_r(z,x_0,y_0,t')}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x_0,y_0,t')}dt'\Big) \\
=&\exp\Big(\int_{x_0}^{x}\left(\frac{-q(x',y,t_{r+1})y(P)}{2\mathscr{F}_n(z,x',y,t_{r+1})}+\frac{\mathscr{F}_{n,x'}(z,x',y,t_{r+1})}{2\mathscr{F}_n(z,x',y,t_{r+1})}\right)dx' \\
&+\int_{y_0}^{y}\left(-\frac{y(P)}{2}\frac{\widehat{F}_1(z,x_0,y',t_{r+1})}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x_0,y',t_{r+1})}+\frac{\mathscr{F}_{n,y'}(z,x_0,y',t_{r+1})}{2\mathscr{F}_n(z,x_0,y',t_{r+1})}\right)dy' \\
&+\int_{t_{r+1,0}}^{t_{r+1}}\left(-\frac{y(P)}{2}\frac{\widehat{F}_r(z,x_0,y_0,t')}{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x_0,y_0,t')}+\frac{\mathscr{F}_{n,t'}(z,x_0,y_0,t')}{2\mathscr{F}_n(z,x_0,y_0,t')}\right)dt'\Big) \\
=&\begin{cases}
\exp\Big(\frac{1}{2}\int_{x_0}^{x}\Big(\frac{-q(x',y,t_{r+1})}{\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\hat{f}_j(x',y,t_{r+1})\zeta^{j+1}}+\frac{q_{x'}(x',y,t_{r+1})}{q(x',y,t_{r+1})}\Big)dx' \\
\quad+\frac{1}{2}\int_{y_0}^{y}\Big(\frac{-\widehat{F}_1(x_0,y',t_{r+1})}{\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\hat{f}_j(x_0,y',t_{r+1})\zeta^{j+1}}+\frac{q_{y'}(x_0,y',t_{r+1})}{q(x_0,y',t_{r+1})}\Big)dy' \\
\quad+\frac{1}{2}\int_{t_{r+1,0}}^{t_{r+1}}\Big(\frac{-\widehat{F}_r(x_0,y_0,t')}{\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\hat{f}_j(x_0,y_0,t')\zeta^{j+1}}+\frac{q_{t'}(x_0,y_0,t')}{q(x_0,y_0,t')}\Big)dt'\Big), \quad \text{as} \quad P\to P_{\infty-}, \\
\exp\Big(\frac{1}{2}\int_{x_0}^{x}\Big(\frac{q(x',y,t_{r+1})}{\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\hat{f}_j(x',y,t_{r+1})\zeta^{j+1}}+\frac{q_{x'}(x',y,t_{r+1})}{q(x',y,t_{r+1})}\Big)dx' \\
\quad+\frac{1}{2}\int_{y_0}^{y}\Big(\frac{\widehat{F}_1(x_0,y',t_{r+1})}{\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\hat{f}_j(x_0,y',t_{r+1})\zeta^{j+1}}+\frac{q_{y'}(x_0,y',t_{r+1})}{q(x_0,y',t_{r+1})}\Big)dy' \\
\quad+\frac{1}{2}\int_{t_{r+1,0}}^{t_{r+1}}\Big(\frac{\widehat{F}_r(x_0,y_0,t')}{\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\hat{f}_j(x_0,y_0,t')\zeta^{j+1}}+\frac{q_{t'}(x_0,y_0,t')}{q(x_0,y_0,t')}\Big)dt'\Big), \quad \text{as} \quad P\to P_{\infty+},
\end{cases} \\
=&\begin{cases}
\frac{q(x,y,t_{r+1})}{q(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})}\exp\Big(\frac{1}{2}(x-x_0)\zeta^{-1}-\frac{1}{2}(y-y_0)\zeta^{-2} \\
\qquad\qquad -\frac{1}{2}(t-t_{r+1,0})\zeta^{-(r+1)}+O(\zeta)\Big), \quad \text{as} \quad P\to P_{\infty-}, \\
\exp\Big(-\frac{1}{2}(x-x_0)\zeta^{-1}+\frac{1}{2}(y-y_0)\zeta^{-2}+\frac{1}{2}(t-t_{r+1,0})\zeta^{-(r+1)}+O(\zeta)\Big), \\
\qquad\qquad \text{as} \quad P\to P_{\infty+}.
\end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.34}$$

Here we have used asymptotic spectral expansion

$$\frac{\mathscr{F}_n(z,x,y,t_{r+1})}{y(P)} = \mp\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\hat{f}_j(x,y,t_{r+1})\zeta^{j+1}, \quad \text{as} \quad P\to P_{\infty\pm}, \tag{4.35}$$

which can be derived by induction as in [25]. Applying Lemma 4.5 and (4.34), we may derive related results for ψ_2. □

5 Algebro-geometric solutions

In this section, we shall first give a detailed description of the function ψ_1 and then study the theta function representation of Baker-Akhiezer functions $\psi_1(P), \psi_2(P)$ and algebro-geometric solutions of the KP hierarachy.

The function $\psi_1(P, x, y, t_{r+1})$ derived in last section plays very important roles. Let us consider the case $r = 2$ for example. By introducing

$$\phi(P, x, y, t_3) = \psi_1(P, x, -y, -t_3) \exp(-\frac{1}{2}(x-x_0)z - \frac{1}{2}(y-y_0)z^2 - \frac{1}{2}(t_3-t_{3,0})z^3), \tag{5.1}$$

and using theorem 4.6, one infers the function ϕ possess the same properties **I**, **II** as ψ_1, and the following expansions

$$\phi(P, x, y, t_3) \overset{\zeta\to 0}{=} \begin{cases} (1+O(\zeta)) \exp(-(x-x_0)z - (y-y_0)z^2 & \\ -(t_3 - t_{3,0})z^3), & \text{as } P \to P_{\infty+}, \\ O(1), & \text{as } P \to P_{\infty-}, \end{cases} \tag{5.2}$$

where we use local coordinates $\zeta = z^{-1}$ near $P_{\infty\pm}$. Thus, the function ϕ gives one explicit form of Baker-Akhiezer function for the KP equation and one can use it obtain the algebro-geometric solutions of the KP equation following the way in [40].

Another important fact is the function $\psi_1(P, x, y, t_3)$ describes a new (2+1) system, which is closely related with the KP equation. Let

$$\Phi(P, x, y, t_3) = \psi_1(P, x, y, t_3) \exp(\frac{1}{2}(x-x_0)z - \frac{1}{2}(y-y_0)z^2 - \frac{1}{2}(t_3-t_{3,0})z^3), \tag{5.3}$$

and then we have the following results.

Theorem 5.1. *The function $\Phi(P, x, y, t_3)$ satisfies the following auxiliary linear problem:*

$$L_2\Phi = 0, \quad L_3\Phi = 0, \tag{5.4}$$

where the operators L_2 and L_3 are given by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} L_2 &= \partial_y + \partial_x^2 + u_0\partial_x + u_1, \\ L_3 &= \partial_{t_3} + \partial^3 + v_0\partial^2 + v_1\partial_x + v_2, \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

and u_0, u_1, v_0, v_1, v_2 are coefficients independent of P which are determined

by the following conditions

$$(\partial_y - L_2)\Phi = O(\zeta)\exp\Big((x-x_0)\zeta^{-1} - (y-y_0)\zeta^{-2} - (t_3-t_{3,0})\zeta^{-3}\Big), \quad as \;\; P \to P_{\infty-}, \;\; \zeta = z^{-1}, \tag{5.6}$$

$$(\partial_{t_3} - L_3)\Phi = O(\zeta)\exp\Big((x-x_0)\zeta^{-1} - (y-y_0)\zeta^{-2} - (t_3-t_{3,0})\zeta^{-3}\Big), \quad as \;\; P \to P_{\infty-}, \;\; \zeta = z^{-1}. \tag{5.7}$$

Proof. For convenience we denote by

$$\Delta(x,y,t_3) = (x-x_0)\zeta^{-1} - (y-y_0)\zeta^{-2} - (t_3-t_{3,0})\zeta^{-3} \tag{5.8}$$

and suppose

$$\psi_1(P,x,y,t_3) \overset{\zeta\to 0}{=} \Big[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\Theta_j(x,y,t_3)\zeta^j\Big]e^{\Delta(x,y,t_3)}, \;\; \text{as} \;\; P \to P_{\infty-}. \tag{5.9}$$

Then it follows from (5.9) that

$$\psi_{1,x}(P,x,y,t_3) \overset{\zeta\to 0}{=} \Big[\Theta_0\zeta^{-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(\Theta_{j,x}+\Theta_{j+1})\zeta^j\Big]e^{\Delta(x,y,t_3)}, \tag{5.10}$$

$$\psi_{1,xx}(P,x,y,t_3) \overset{\zeta\to 0}{=} \Big[\Theta_0\zeta^{-2} + (2\Theta_{0,x}+\Theta_1)\zeta^{-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(\Theta_{j,xx} + 2\Theta_{j+1,x} + \Theta_{j+2})\zeta^j\Big]e^{\Delta(x,y,t_3)}, \tag{5.11}$$

$$\psi_{1,xxx}(P,x,y,t_3) \overset{\zeta\to 0}{=} \Big[\Theta_0\zeta^{-3} + (3\Theta_{0,x}+\Theta_1)\zeta^{-2} + (3\Theta_{0,xx}+3\Theta_{1,x}+\Theta_2)\zeta^{-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(\Theta_{j,xxx}+3\Theta_{j+1,xx}+3\Theta_{j+2,x}+\Theta_3)\zeta^j\Big]e^{\Delta(x,y,t_3)} \tag{5.12}$$

and

$$\psi_{1,y}(P,x,y,t_3) \overset{\zeta\to 0}{=} \Big[-\Theta_0\zeta^{-2} - \Theta_1\zeta^{-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(\Theta_{j,y}-\Theta_{j+2})\zeta^j\Big]e^{\Delta(x,y,t_3)}, \tag{5.13}$$

$$\psi_{1,t_3}(P,x,y,t_3) \overset{\zeta\to 0}{=} \Big[-\Theta_0\zeta^{-3} - \Theta_1\zeta^{-3} - \Theta_0\zeta^{-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(\Theta_{j,t_3}-\Theta_{j+3})\zeta^j\Big]e^{\Delta(x,y,t_3)}. \tag{5.14}$$

Inserting (5.9)-(5.14) into (5.4), (5.5), and taking into account (5.6), (5.7), one obtains

$$2\Theta_{0,x} + u_0\Theta_0 = 0, \tag{5.15}$$
$$\Theta_{0,y} + 2\Theta_{1,x} + \Theta_{0,xx} + u_0\Theta_1 + u_1\Theta_0 = 0, \tag{5.16}$$
$$3\Theta_{0,x} + v_0\Theta_0 = 0, \tag{5.17}$$
$$3\Theta_{1,x} + 3\Theta_{0,xx} + v_0(\Theta_1 + 2\Theta_{0,x}) + v_1\Theta_0 = 0, \tag{5.18}$$
$$\begin{aligned} &3\Theta_{2,x} + 3\Theta_{1,xx} + \Theta_{0,xxx} + v_0(\Theta_2 + 2\Theta_{1,x} + \Theta_{0,xx}) \\ &+ v_1(\Theta_1 + \Theta_{0,x}) + v_2\Theta_0 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

Here $\Theta_j, j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, possess explicit representations which arise from (4.11) or (5.34). Thus solving the system (5.15)-(5.19), we may derive u_0, u_1, v_0, v_1, v_2 which are expressed by Θ_j. Since analytic properties of $L_2\psi_1$ and $L_3\psi_1$ are identical with ψ_1, except for possible different asymptotic behavior at $P_{\infty-}$, one concludes

$$L_2\psi_1 = 0, \quad L_3\psi_1 = 0 \tag{5.20}$$

hold by (5.6), (5.7). □

Theorem 5.2. *Assume the condition of Theorem 5.1 holds. Then the compatibility condition for (5.4), (5.5) is equivalent to*

$$2v_{0,x} - 3u_{0,x} = 0, \tag{5.21}$$
$$v_{0,y} + v_{0,xx} + 2v_{1,x} - 3u_{0,xx} - 3u_{1,x} + u_0v_{0,x} - 2v_0u_{0,x} = 0, \tag{5.22}$$
$$\begin{aligned} &-u_{0,t_3} + v_{1,y} + v_{1,xx} + 2v_{2,x} - u_{0,xxx} - 3u_{1,xx} - 2v_0u_{1,x} + u_0v_{1,x} \\ &-v_1u_{0,x} - v_0u_{0,xx} = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{5.23}$$
$$-u_{1,t_3} + v_{2,y} + v_{2,xx} + u_0v_{2,x} - u_{1,xxx} - 2v_0u_{1,xx} - v_1u_{1,x} = 0. \tag{5.24}$$

Proof. Imposing on ψ_1 the requirement $\psi_{1,t_3y} = \psi_{1,yt_3}$, one easily get (5.21)-(5.24). □

Remark 5.3. *The function $\psi_1(x, y, t_3)$ is connected with three equations, i.e. the second and third flows of ANKS hierarchy, KP equation, and the new system (5.21)-(5.24). Thus, it is possible to get the 'Bäcklund transformation' of algebro-geometric solutions between KP equation and the new 2+1 system. Similar statement is true for $r > 2$.*

Now we turn to study the theta function representations for $\psi_1(P), \psi_2(P)$ and algebro-geometric solutions of the whole KP hierarchy.

First, choosing a convenient base point $Q_0 \in X \setminus \{P_{\infty\pm}\}$, the Abel maps $\underline{A}_{Q_0}(\cdot)$ and $\underline{\alpha}_{Q_0}(\cdot)$ are defined by

$$\underline{A}_{Q_0} : X \to J(X) \quad = \quad \mathbb{C}^n / L_n,$$

$$\begin{aligned} P \mapsto \underline{A}_{Q_0}(P) &= (A_{Q_0,1}(P), \ldots, A_{Q_0,n}(P)) \\ &= \left(\int_{Q_0}^{P} \omega_1, \ldots, \int_{Q_0}^{P} \omega_n \right) (\text{mod } L_n), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\underline{\alpha}_{Q_0} : \text{Div}(X) \to J(X), \\ &\qquad \mathcal{D} \mapsto \underline{\alpha}_{Q_0}(\mathcal{D}) = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{K}_n} \mathcal{D}(P) \underline{A}_{Q_0}(P), \end{aligned}$$

where $L_n = \{\underline{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n | \ \underline{z} = \underline{N} + \Gamma \underline{M}, \ \underline{N}, \ \underline{M} \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$, and Γ, $\underline{\Xi}_{Q_0}$ are the Riemann matrix and the vector of Riemann constants, respectively. Moreover, we choose a homology basis $\{a_j, b_j\}_{j=1}^n$ on X in such a way that the intersection matrix of the cycles satisfies

$$a_j \circ b_k = \delta_{j,k}, \quad a_j \circ a_k = 0, \quad b_j \circ b_k = 0, \quad j, \ k = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{5.25}$$

For brevity, define the function $\underline{z} : X \times \sigma^n X \to \mathbb{C}^n$ by[2]

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{z}(P, \underline{Q}) &= \underline{\Xi}_{Q_0} - \underline{A}_{Q_0}(P) + \underline{\alpha}_{Q_0}(\mathcal{D}_{\underline{Q}}), \\ P \in \mathcal{K}_n, \ \underline{Q} &= (Q_1, \ldots, Q_n) \in \sigma^n \mathcal{K}_n, \end{aligned} \tag{5.26}$$

here $\underline{z}(\cdot, \underline{Q})$ is independent of the choice of base point Q_0. The Riemann theta function $\theta(\underline{z})$ associated with X and the homology is defined by

$$\theta(\underline{z}) = \sum_{\underline{n} \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp\left(2\pi i < \underline{n}, \underline{z} > + \pi i < \underline{n}, \underline{n}\Gamma >\right), \quad \underline{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

where $< \underline{B}, \underline{C} >= \overline{\underline{B}} \cdot \underline{C}^t = \sum_{j=1}^N \overline{B}_j C_j$ denotes the scalar product in $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$.

Let $\omega^{(2)}_{P_{\infty\pm},q}$ be the normalized differentials of the second kind with a unique pole at $P_{\infty\pm}$, respectively, and principal parts

$$\omega^{(2)}_{P_{\infty\pm},q} \underset{\zeta \to 0}{=} \left(\zeta^{-2-q} + O(1)\right) d\zeta, \quad P \to P_{\infty\pm}, \quad \zeta = z^{-1}, \quad q \in \mathbb{N}_0 \tag{5.27}$$

[2] $\sigma^n X = \underbrace{X \times \ldots \times X}_{n}$.

with vanishing a-periods,

$$\int_{a_j} \omega^{(2)}_{P_{\infty\pm},q} = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

and $\omega_{P_{\infty+}P_{\infty-}}$ be normalized differential of third kind satisfying

$$\int_{Q_0}^{P} \omega_{P_{\infty-}P_{\infty+}} = \zeta^{-1} + c_{\infty+} + O(\zeta), \quad P \to P_{\infty+}, \zeta = z^{-1}, c_{\infty+} \in \mathbb{C},$$

and

$$\int_{a_j} \omega_{P_{\infty+}P_{\infty-}} = 0 \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Moreover, we introduce the notation

$$\Omega^{(2)}_r = \frac{1}{2}(r+1)(\omega^{(2)}_{P_{\infty+},r} - \omega^{(2)}_{P_{\infty-},r}), \quad r \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{5.28}$$

and

$$\omega^{(2)}_r = \lim_{P \to P_{\infty+}} \int_{Q_0}^{P} \Omega^{(2)}_r. \tag{5.29}$$

Next we turn to study the theta function representation for $\psi_1(P)\psi_2(P^*)$.

Lemma 5.4. *Assume spectral curve defined in (3.4) is nonsingular and q, p satisfy (2.55). Moreover, let $P \in X \backslash \{P_{\infty\pm}\}$ and suppose the divisors $\mathcal{D}_{\underline{\hat{\nu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})}$, $\mathcal{D}_{\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})}$ are nonspecial. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\psi_1(P, x, y, t_{r+1})\psi_2(P^*, x, y, t_{r+1}) \\
=&\frac{1}{q(x_0, y_0, t_{r+1,0})} \frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty-}, \underline{\hat{\mu}}^*(x_0, y_0, t_{r+1,0})))\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty-}, \underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0, y_0, t_{r+1,0})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty-}, \underline{\hat{\nu}}^*(x, y, t_{r+1})))\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty-}, \underline{\hat{\mu}}(x, y, t_{r+1})))} \\
&\times \frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P, \underline{\hat{\nu}}^*(x, y, t_{r+1})))\theta(\underline{z}(P, \underline{\hat{\mu}}(x, y, t_{r+1})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P, \underline{\hat{\mu}}^*(x_0, y_0, t_{r+1,0})))\theta(\underline{z}(P, \underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0, y_0, t_{r+1,0})))} \\
&\times \exp\Big(\int_{Q_0}^{P} \omega_{P_{\infty-}P_{\infty+}} - c_{\infty+}\Big) \qquad (5.30) \\
=&\frac{1}{q(x_0, y_0, t_{r+1,0})} \frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+}, \underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0, y_0, t_{r+1,0})))\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty-}, \underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0, y_0, t_{r+1,0})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+}, \underline{\hat{\nu}}(x, y, t_{r+1})))\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty-}, \underline{\hat{\mu}}(x, y, t_{r+1})))} \\
&\times \frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P^*, \underline{\hat{\nu}}(x, y, t_{r+1})))\theta(\underline{z}(P, \underline{\hat{\mu}}(x, y, t_{r+1})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P^*, \underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0, y_0, t_{r+1,0})))\theta(\underline{z}(P, \underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0, y_0, t_{r+1,0})))} \\
&\times \exp\Big(\int_{Q_0}^{P} \omega_{P_{\infty-}P_{\infty+}} - c_{\infty+}\Big). \qquad (5.31)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We define

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{®}^1(P,x,t_{r+1}) =& \frac{1}{q(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})}\frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty-},\underline{\hat{\mu}}^*(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty-},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty-},\underline{\hat{\nu}}^*(x,y,t_{r+1})))\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty-},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})))} \\
&\times \frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P,\underline{\hat{\nu}}^*(x,y,t_{r+1})))\theta(\underline{z}(P,\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P,\underline{\hat{\mu}}^*(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))\theta(\underline{z}(P,\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))} \\
&\times \exp\Big(\int_{Q_0}^{P}\omega_{P_{\infty-}P_{\infty+}} - c_{\infty+}\Big) \qquad (5.32) \\
\text{®}^2(P,x,t_{r+1}) =& \frac{1}{q(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})}\frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty-},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\nu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})))\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty-},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})))} \\
&\times \frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P^*,\underline{\hat{\nu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})))\theta(\underline{z}(P,\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P^*,\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))\theta(\underline{z}(P,\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))} \\
&\times \exp\Big(\int_{Q_0}^{P}\omega_{P_{\infty-}P_{\infty+}} - c_{\infty+}\Big). \qquad (5.33)
\end{aligned}$$

Then it is not difficult to know divisors of $\psi_1(P,x,y,t_{r+1})\psi_2(P^*,x,y,t_{r+1})$ coincides with that of $\text{®}^j(P,x,t_{r+1}), j=1,2$, on X. Therefore, the quantity $\frac{\psi_1(P,x,y,t_{r+1})\psi_2(P^*,x,y,t_{r+1})}{\text{®}^j(P,x,y,t_{r+1})}$ is a constant which is independent on P and we denote it by $C(x,y,t_{r+1})$. Then taking $P\to P_{\infty+}$ and using Lemma 4.5, one obtains

$$C(x,y,t_{r+1}) = \lim_{P\to P_{\infty+}}\frac{\psi_1(P,x,y,t_{r+1})\psi_2(P^*,x,y,t_{r+1})}{\text{®}^j(P,x,y,t_{r+1})} = 1, \quad j=1,2,$$

and hence equality $\psi_1(P,x,y,t_{r+1})\psi_2(P^*,x,y,t_{r+1}) = \text{®}^j(P,x,y,t_{r+1}), j=1,2$, hold for any $P\in X$. We complete the proof. □

Given these preparations, one finally derives the following theta function representation for Baker-Akhiezer functions $\psi_j(P,x,y,t_{r+1}), j=1,2$, and solutions $q(x,y,t_{r+1}), p(x,y,t_{r+1})$.

Theorem 5.5. *Assume spectral curve defined in (3.4) is nonsingular and q,p satisfy (2.55). Moreover, let $P\in X\backslash\{P_{\infty\pm}\}$ and suppose the divisors $\mathcal{D}_{\underline{\hat{\nu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})}$, $\mathcal{D}_{\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})}$ are nonspecial. Then functions ψ_1,ψ_2,q,p have the*

following theta function representations

$$\psi_1(P,x,y,t_{r+1}) = C_1(x,y,t_{r+1})\frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P,\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P,\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r,0})))}\exp\Big((x-x_0)\int_{Q_0}^{P}\Omega_0^{(2)} - (y-y_0)\int_{Q_0}^{P}\Omega_1^{(2)} - (t-t_{r+1,0})\int_{Q_0}^{P}\Omega_r^{(2)}\Big), \tag{5.34}$$

$$\psi_2(P,x,y,t_{r+1}) = C_2(x,y,t_{r+1})\frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P,\underline{\hat{\nu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P,\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))}\exp\Big((x-x_0)\int_{Q_0}^{P}\Omega_0^{(2)} - (y-y_0)\int_{Q_0}^{P}\Omega_1^{(2)} - (t-t_{r+1,0})\int_{Q_0}^{P}\Omega_2^{(2)} + \int_{Q_0}^{P}\omega_{P_{\infty+}P_{\infty-}}\Big), \tag{5.35}$$

$$= \widetilde{C}_2(x,y,t_{r+1})\frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P^*,\underline{\hat{\nu}}^*(x,y,t_{r+1})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P^*,\underline{\hat{\mu}}^*(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))}\exp\Big((x-x_0)\int_{Q_0}^{P}\Omega_0^{(2)} + (y-y_0)\int_{Q_0}^{P}\Omega_1^{(2)} + (t-t_{r+1,0})\int_{Q_0}^{P}\Omega_r^{(2)} + \int_{Q_0}^{P}\omega_{P_{\infty+}P_{\infty-}}\Big), \tag{5.36}$$

and

$$q(x,y,t_{r+1}) = q(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})\frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})))} \times \frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty-},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty-},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))} \times \exp\Big(-2(x-x_0)\omega_0^{(2)} + 2(y-y_0)\omega_1^{(2)} + 2(t-t_{r+1,0})\omega_r^{(2)}\Big), \tag{5.37}$$

$$p(x,y,t_{r+1}) = \frac{-e^{-2c_{\infty-}}}{q(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})}\frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty-},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty-},\underline{\hat{\nu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})))} \times \frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\nu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))} \times \exp\Big(2(x-x_0)\omega_0^{(2)} - 2(y-y_0)\omega_1^{(2)} - 2(t-t_{r+1,0})\omega_r^{(2)}\Big), \tag{5.38}$$

where

$$C_1(x,y,t_{r+1}) = \frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})))} \times \exp\Big(-(x-x_0)\omega_0^{(2)} + (y-y_0)\omega_1^{(2)} + (t-t_{r+1,0})\omega_r^{(2)}\Big), \tag{5.39}$$

$$C_2(x,y,t_{r+1}) = \frac{e^{-c_{\infty-}}}{q(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})} \frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty-},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty-},\underline{\hat{\nu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})))} \times \exp\Big((x-x_0)\omega_0^{(2)} - (y-y_0)\omega_1^{(2)} - (t-t_{r+1,0})\omega_r^{(2)}\Big), \tag{5.40}$$

$$\widetilde{C}_2(x,y,t_{r+1}) = \frac{e^{-c_{\infty-}}}{q(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})} \frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}^*(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\nu}}^*(x,y,t_{r+1})))} \times \exp\Big((x-x_0)\omega_0^{(2)} - (y-y_0)\omega_1^{(2)} - (t_{r+1}-t_{r+1,0})\omega_r^{(2)}\Big), \tag{5.41}$$

and the initial values $q(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})$ *and* $p(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})$ *are constrained by*

$$\begin{aligned} &q(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})p(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0}) \\ &= -\frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty-},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))} \frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\nu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\nu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))} e^{-2c_{\infty-}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.42}$$

Moreover, the Abel map linearizes the auxiliary divisors $\mathcal{D}_{\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})}, \mathcal{D}_{\underline{\hat{\nu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})}$ *in the sense that*

$$\underline{\alpha}_{Q_0}(\mathcal{D}_{\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})}) = \underline{\alpha}_{Q_0}(\mathcal{D}_{\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})}) - \underline{U}_0^{(2)}(x-x_0) + \underline{U}_1^{(2)}(y-y_0) + \underline{U}_r^{(2)}(t_{r+1}-t_{r+1,0}), \tag{5.43}$$

$$\underline{\alpha}_{Q_0}(\mathcal{D}_{\underline{\hat{\nu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})}) = \underline{\alpha}_{Q_0}(\mathcal{D}_{\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})}) - \underline{U}_0^{(2)}(x-x_0) + \underline{U}_1^{(2)}(y-y_0) + \underline{U}_r^{(2)}(t_{r+1}-t_{r+1,0}) + A_{Q_0}(P_{\infty-}) - A_{Q_0}(P_{\infty+}). \tag{5.44}$$

Proof. First, we prove expression (5.34). Denote the right hand of (5.34) by $\tilde{\psi}_1$, and then one finds $\tilde{\psi}_1$ is meromorphic on $X\backslash\{P_{\infty\pm}\}$ with simple zeros at $\hat{\mu}_j(x,y,t_{r+1}), j=1,\ldots,n$, and simple poles at $\hat{\mu}_j(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0}), j=1,\ldots,n$, by Riemann vanishing theorem. A comparison of (4.28), (4.29), (5.34) for $\tilde{\psi}_1$, taking into (5.27), (5.28) shows ψ_1 and $\tilde{\psi}_1$ have identical exponential behavior up to order $O(1)$ near $P_{\infty\pm}$. Thus, ψ_1 and $\tilde{\psi}_1$ share the same singularities and zeros and the Riemann-Roch-type uniqueness result then proves that ψ_1 and $\tilde{\psi}_1$ coincide up to normalization and we denote this normalization constant by $C_1(x,y,t_{r+1})$. Hence $\psi_1(P,x,y,t_{r+1})$ has the

form of (5.34). Comparing (4.29) with (5.34) and taking into account the asymptotic behavior near $P_{\infty+}$, we have

$$C_1(x,y,t_{r+1}) = \frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})))}\exp\Big(-(x-x_0)\omega_0^{(2)} + (y-y_0)\omega_1^{(2)} + (t-t_{r+1,0})\omega_2^{(2)}\Big). \tag{5.45}$$

A comparison of (4.22), (5.34), (5.35) and (5.36) then yields

$$\begin{aligned} C_2(x,y,t_{r+1}) &= \frac{e^{-c_{\infty-}}}{q(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})C_1(x,y,t_{r+1})}\frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty-},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty-},\underline{\hat{\nu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})))} \\ &\quad\times\frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})))} \\ &= \frac{e^{-c_{\infty-}}}{q(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})}\frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\nu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})))}\times\exp\Big((x-x_0)\omega_0^{(2)} \\ &\quad-(y-y_0)\omega_1^{(2)}-(t-t_{r+1,0})\omega_r^{(2)}\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{5.46}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{C}_2(x,y,t_{r+1}) &= \frac{e^{-c_{\infty-}}}{q(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})C_1(x,y,t_{r+1})}\frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}^*(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\nu}}^*(x,y,t_{r+1})))} \\ &\quad\times\frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})))} \\ &= \frac{e^{-c_{\infty-}}}{q(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})}\frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}^*(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\nu}}^*(x,y,t_{r+1})))}\times\exp\Big((x-x_0)\omega_0^{(2)} \\ &\quad-(y-y_0)\omega_1^{(2)}-(t_{r+1}-t_{r+1,0})\omega_r^{(2)}\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{5.47}$$

On the other hand, from the asymptotic behavior of both sides in (4.20) and

(4.21) for $P \to P_{\infty+}$, one infers

$$\begin{aligned} q(x,y,t_{r+1}) &= q(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})C_1(x,y,t_{r+1})^2 \frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty-},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty-},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))} \\ &= q(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0}) \frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})))} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty-},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty-},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))} \times \exp\Big(-2(x-x_0)\omega_0^{(2)} \\ &\quad + 2(y-y_0)\omega_1^{(2)} + 2(t-t_{r+1,0})\omega_r^{(2)}\Big), \end{aligned} \tag{5.48}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} p(x,y,t_{r+1}) = & -q(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})C_2(x,y,t_{r+1})^2 \frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty-},\underline{\hat{\nu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty-},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))} \\ &\times \frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\nu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))} \\ = & \frac{-e^{-2c_{\infty-}}}{q(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})} \frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty-},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty-},\underline{\hat{\nu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})))} \\ &\times \frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\nu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))} \times \exp\Big(2(x-x_0)\omega_0^{(2)} \\ &- 2(y-y_0)\omega_1^{(2)} - 2(t-t_{r+1,0})\omega_r^{(2)}\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{5.49}$$

Moreover, (5.42) follows from (5.48) and (5.49) by taking $(x,y,t_{r+1}) = (x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})$. Finally, the linearization property of the Abel map in (5.43) and (5.44) is a standard investigation of the differentials

$$\Omega_i(x,y,t_{r+1}) = d\ln(\psi_i(\cdot,x,y,t_{r+1})),\ i=1,2,$$

or standard Langrange interpolation procedure (see [25], [50]). □

Theorem 5.6. *Assume spectral curve defined in (3.4) is nonsingular and q,p satisfy (2.55). Moreover, let $P \in X\backslash\{P_{\infty\pm}\}$ and the divisors $\mathcal{D}_{\underline{\hat{\nu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})}$, $\mathcal{D}_{\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})}$ are nonspecial. The algbro-geometric solutions of rth KP equation ($r \geq 2$) possess the following two kinds of theta function representations*

$$u(x,y,t_{r+1}) = \partial_x^2 \ln\big(\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x,-y,(-1)^r t_{r+1})))\big) - \frac{\lambda_0}{2}, \tag{5.50}$$

and

$$u(x,y,t_{r+1}) = \frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x,-y,(-1)^r t_{r+1}))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty-},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x,-y,(-1)^r t_{r+1}))} \frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty-},\underline{\hat{\nu}}(x,-y,(-1)^r t_{r+1}))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\nu}}(x,-y,(-1)^r t_{r+1}))} \times e^{-2c_{\infty-}}, \tag{5.51}$$

where $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Firstly let us compute (5.50). Using (4.1), one infers

$$\psi_{1,xx} = \Big(\frac{z^2}{4} + \frac{z}{2}\frac{q_x}{q} + qp\Big)\psi_1 + \frac{q_x}{q}\psi_{1,x}. \tag{5.52}$$

Suppose ψ_1 has the following expansions near $P \to P_{\infty+}$

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_1(P,x,y,t_{r+1}) \overset{\zeta\to 0}{=} \Big(1+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\Upsilon_j(x,y,t_{r+1})\zeta^j\Big)\exp\Big(-\frac{1}{2}(x-x_0)(\zeta^{-1} \\ +\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\lambda_j\zeta^{j+1}) + \frac{1}{2}(y-y_0)(\zeta^{-2}+O(1)) \\ +\frac{1}{2}(t_{r+1}-t_{r+1,0})(\zeta^{-(r+1)}+O(1))\Big), \quad \zeta = z^{-1},\end{aligned} \tag{5.53}$$

where the constants $\lambda_j, j = 1,2,\ldots,$ arise from Abel differentials of the

second kind. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{1,x}(P,x,y,t_{r+1}) \overset{\zeta\to 0}{=} & \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\Upsilon_{j,x}(x,y,t_{r+1})\zeta^j\Big)\exp\Big(-\frac{1}{2}(x-x_0)(\zeta^{-1}+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\lambda_j\zeta^{j+1})\\ & +\frac{1}{2}(y-y_0)(\zeta^{-2}+O(1))+\frac{1}{2}(t_{r+1}-t_{r+1,0})(\zeta^{-(r+1)}+O(1))\Big)\\ & +\Big(1+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\Upsilon_j(x,y,t_{r+1})\zeta^j\Big)\exp\Big(-\frac{1}{2}(x-x_0)(\zeta^{-1}+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\lambda_j\zeta^{j+1})\\ & +\frac{1}{2}(y-y_0)(\zeta^{-2}+O(1))+\frac{1}{2}(t_{r+1}-t_{r+1,0})(\zeta^{-(r+1)}+O(1))\Big)\\ & \times(-\frac{1}{2})\times\Big(\zeta^{-1}+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\lambda_j\zeta^{j+1}\Big),\qquad \text{as}\ \ P\to P_{\infty+},\quad (5.54)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{1,xx}(P,x,y,t_{r+1}) \overset{\zeta\to 0}{=} & \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\Upsilon_{j,xx}(x,y,t_{r+1})\zeta^j\Big)\exp\Big(-\frac{1}{2}(x-x_0)(\zeta^{-1}+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\lambda_j\zeta^{j+1})\\ & +\frac{1}{2}(y-y_0)(\zeta^{-2}+O(1))+\frac{1}{2}(t_{r+1}-t_{r+1,0})(\zeta^{-(r+1)}+O(1))\Big)\\ & +2\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\Upsilon_{j,x}(x,y,t_{r+1})\zeta^j\Big)\exp\Big(-\frac{1}{2}(x-x_0)(\zeta^{-1}+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\lambda_j\zeta^{j+1})\\ & +\frac{1}{2}(y-y_0)(\zeta^{-2}+O(1))+\frac{1}{2}(t_{r+1}-t_{r+1,0})(\zeta^{-(r+1)}+O(1))\Big)\\ & \times(-\frac{1}{2})\times\Big(\zeta^{-1}+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\lambda_j\zeta^{j+1}\Big)+\Big(1+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\Upsilon_j(x,y,t_{r+1})\zeta^j\Big)\\ & \times\exp\Big(-\frac{1}{2}(x-x_0)(\zeta^{-1}+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\lambda_j\zeta^{j+1})+\frac{1}{2}(y-y_0)(\zeta^{-2}+O(1))\\ & +\frac{1}{2}(t_{r+1}-t_{r+1,0})(\zeta^{-(r+1)}+O(1))\Big)\times(-\frac{1}{2})^2\times\Big(\zeta^{-1}+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\lambda_j\zeta^{j+1}\Big)^2,\\ & \qquad\qquad \text{as}\ \ P\to P_{\infty+}.\quad (5.55)\end{aligned}$$

Inserting (5.53)-(5.55) into (5.52), we get

$$pq=\frac{\lambda_0}{2}-\Upsilon_{1,x}. \tag{5.56}$$

Next one has to determine $\Upsilon_{1,x}$. Using (5.43), (5.44), one derives

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_1(P,x,y,t_{r+1}) &\overset{\zeta\to 0}{=} \frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})))}\frac{\theta(\underline{z}(P,\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x,y,t)))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P,\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_0))}\\
&\quad\times\exp\Big(-\frac{1}{2}(x-x_0)(\zeta^{-1}+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\lambda_j\zeta^{j+1})+\frac{1}{2}(y-y_0)(\zeta^{-2}+O(1))\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{2}(t_{r+1}-t_{r+1,0})(\zeta^{-(r+1)}+O(1))\Big)\\
&\overset{\zeta\to 0}{=}\left(1-\frac{\partial_{\underline{U}_0^{(2)}}\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x,y,t_{r+1}))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})))}\zeta+O(\zeta^2)\right)\\
&\quad\times\left(1-\frac{\partial_{\underline{U}_0^{(2)}}\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_0))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_0)))}\zeta+O(\zeta^2)\right)^{-1}\\
&\quad\times\exp\Big(-\frac{1}{2}(x-x_0)(\zeta^{-1}+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\lambda_j\zeta^{j+1})+\frac{1}{2}(y-y_0)(\zeta^{-2}+O(1))\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{2}(t_{r+1}-t_{r+1,0})(\zeta^{-(r+1)}+O(1))\Big),\\
&\overset{\zeta\to 0}{=}\left(1+\left(\frac{\partial_{\underline{U}_0^{(2)}}\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0}))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0})))}-\frac{\partial_{\underline{U}_0^{(2)}}\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x,y,t_{r+1}))}{\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})))}\right)\zeta+O(\zeta^2)\right)\\
&\quad\times\exp\Big(-\frac{1}{2}(x-x_0)(\zeta^{-1}+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\lambda_j\zeta^{j+1})+\frac{1}{2}(y-y_0)(\zeta^{-2}+O(1))\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{2}(t-t_{r+1,0})(\zeta^{-(r+1)}+O(1))\Big),
\end{aligned}\tag{5.57}$$

where $\partial_{\underline{U}_0^{(2)}}$ denotes the direction derivative of θ function along vector $\underline{U}_0^{(2)}\in\mathbb{C}^n$ at the point $\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x_0,y_0,t_{r+1,0}))$. Thus, comparing (5.53) with (5.54), we have

$$\Upsilon_{1,x}=-\partial_x^2\ln\left(\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+},\underline{\hat{\mu}}(x,y,t_{r+1})))\right).\tag{5.58}$$

Employing (5.48), (5.49), (5.56), (5.58) and Theorem 2.1, one obtains (5.50). Finally, (5.51) is the direct result of (5.37), (5.38) and Theorem 2.1. □

Remark 5.7. *Here we give a few remarks on the special case $r=2$.*
(i) In case $r=2$, expressions (5.50) and (5.51) give rise to the algebro-geometric solutions of KP equation and real algebro-geometric solution of KP equation can easily derived from (5.51) by studying reduction condition $q=\pm\bar{p}$. Moreover, The transformation $x\to ix, y\to iy, t_3\to it_3$ transforms (5.50) and (5.51) to algebro-geometric solutions of unstable version of KP

equation (KP1 equation).
(ii) The spectral curve of the KP equation (1.1) is hyperelliptic which compactified by two different points $P_{\infty\pm}$ at infinity. Similarly we can discuss algebro-geometric solution of the KP hierarchy on some specific trigonal curves following this way. Moreover, if (5.50) and (5.51) are independent of y, then we can derive theta function representations for algebro-geometric solutions of KdV equation. A similar remark applies also to the generation of the solutions of the KP equation from the solutions of the Boussinesq equation, given the assumption that $u = u(x, y)$ and the dependence of $\psi_j(x, y, t_3, P), j = 1, 2,$ on t_3 is purely exponential.
(iii) It is not difficult to verify the expression

$$\begin{aligned} u(x,y,t_3) = & -2q(x,y,t_3)p(x,y,t_3) \\ & = 2\partial_x^2 \ln\left(\theta(\underline{z}(P_{\infty+}, \underline{\hat{\mu}}(x,y,t_3)))\right) - \lambda_0 \end{aligned} \tag{5.59}$$

gives rise to the algebro-geometric solutions of standard KP equation [40]

$$u_t = \frac{1}{4}u_{xxx} + \frac{3}{2}uu_x + \frac{3}{4}\partial_x^{-1}u_{yy}. \tag{5.60}$$

6 Outlook

An important feature of this construction is that the Riemann surface X is not completely arbitrary. In fact, it has been proved that N-component vector nonlinear Schrödinger hierarchies (VNLS) are contained within the KP hierarchy and general algebro-geometric solutions of the KP hierarchy can be approximated by solutions of N-component VNLS hierarchies, in the limit of large N [47]. Therefore, how to derive the algebro-geometric solutions of N-component vector nonlinear Schrödinger hierarchies by extending the method of Gesztesy, *et al.* remains to be a nontrival work, which gives a complete answer to algebro-geometric solutions of the whole KP hierarchy.

Acknowledgements

The work described in this paper was supported by grants from the National Science Foundation of China (Project No. 10971031), and the Shanghai Shuguang Tracking Project (Project No. 08GG01).

References

[1] M. J. Ablowitz, H. Segur, *Solitons and inverse scattering transform,* SIAM, (1981).

[2] M. Adler, *On a trace functional for formal pseudo-differential operators and the symplectic structure of the Korteweg-Devries type equations,* Invent. Math. **50**, 219-248 (1979).

[3] M. Antonowitcz, S. Rauch-Wojciechowsld, *How to construct finite-dimensional bi.Hamiltonian equations: Jacobi integrable potentials,* Preprint Linkoping Univ. (LITH-MAT-R-90-35), Linkoping (1991).

[4] E. D. Belokolos, A. I. Bobenko, V. Z. Enolskii, A.R. Its, V. B. Matveev, *Algebro-Geometric approach to nonlinear integrable equations, Springer*, Berlin, (1994).

[5] C. W. Cao, *Nonlinearization of the Lax system for AKNS hierarchy*, Sci. China Ser. A, **33** 528-536 (1990).

[6] C. W. Cao, Y. T. Wu, X. G. Geng, *Relation between the Kadometsev-Petviashvili equation and the confocal involutive system,* J. Math. Phys. **40** 3948-3970 (1999).

[7] Y. Cheng, Y. S. Li, *The constraint of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation and its special solutions,* Phys. Lett. A, **157** 22-26 (1991).

[8] Y. Cheng, T. Lee, *A method for solving the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation,* Phys. Lett. A, **157** 22-26 (1991).

[9] Y. Cheng, *Constraints of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy,* J. Math. Phys. **33**, 3774-3782 (1992).

[10] E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara, T. Miwa, *Operator Approach to the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili Equation-Transformation Groups for Soliton Equations III,* J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **50** 3806 (1981).

[11] E. Date, M. Kashiwara, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa. Transformation groups for soliton equations. In *Non-Linear Integrable Systems-Classical Theory and Quantum Theory*, edited by M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, World Scientific, Singapore, 39-119 (1983).

[12] R. Dickson, F. Gesztesy, K. Unterkofler, *Algebro-geometric solutions of the Boussinesq hierarchy,* Rev. Math. Phys. **11** 823-879 (1999).

[13] L. A. Dickey, *Soliton Equations and Hamiltonian Systems.* World Scientific, Singapore, (1991).

[14] B. A. Dubrovin, S. P. Novikov, *A periodic problem for the Korteweg-de Veries and Sturm-Liouville equations. their connection with algebraic geometry,* Sov. Math. doklady, **219** 531-534 (1974).

[15] B. A. Dubrovin, V. B. Matveev, S. P. Novikov, *Nonlinear equations of Korteweg-de Vries type, finite-zone linear operators and Abelian varieties*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **31** 55-136 (1976) ; English translation: Russ. Math. Surveys **31** 59-146 (1976).

[16] B. A. Dubrovin, I. M. Krichever, S. P. Novikov, *The Schrödinger equation in a periodic field and Riemann surfaces,* Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **229** 15-18 (1976); English translation: Sov. Math. Dokl. **17** 947-951 (1976).

[17] B. A. Dubrovin, S. Natanzon, *Real theta function solutions of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation,* Math. USSR Irvestiya, **32** 269-288 (1989).

[18] H. M. Farkas, I. Kra, *Riemann Surfaces. Second ed.* Springer, New York, (1992).

[19] H. Flaschka, *Relations between infinite-dimensional and finite-dimensional isospectral equations," in: Proc. RIMS Syrup. on Nonlinear Integrable Systems-Classical and Quantum Theory (M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, eds.)*, World Scientific, Singapore 219-240 (1983).

[20] A. S. Fokas, P. M. Santini, *The recursion operator of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation and the squared eigenfunctions of the Schrödinger operator,* Stud. Appl. Math. **75**, 179–186 (1986).

[21] A. S. Fokas, P. M. Santini, *Recursion Operators and Bi-Hamiltonian Structures in Multidimensions. II*, Commun. Math. Phys. **116**, 449-474 (1988).

[22] C. S. Gardner, L M. Greene, M. D. Kruskal, R. M. Miura, *Korteweg–de Vries equation and generalizations. VI. Methods for exact solution,* Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **27**, 97-133 (1974).

[23] F. Gesztesy, R. Ratneseelan, *An alternative approach to algebro-geometric solutions of the AKNS hierarchy,* Rev. Math. Phys. **10** 345-391 (1998).

[24] F. Gesztesy, H. Holden, *Algebro-geometric solutions of the Camassa-Holm hierarchy,* Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, **19** 142 (2003).

[25] F. Gesztesy, H. Holden, *Soliton equations and their algebro-geometric solutions, Vol. I: (1+1)-dimensional continuous models,* Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. **79**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2003).

[26] M. F. de Groot, T. J. Hollowood, J. L. Miramontes, *Generalized Drinfel'd-Sokolov hierarchies,* Commun. Math. Phys. **145**, 57-84 (1992).

[27] A. R. Its, V. B. Matveev, *Schrödinger operators with the finite-band spectrum and the N-soliton solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation*, Theor. Math. Phys. **23** 343-355 (1975).

[28] A. R. Its, V. B. Matveev, *Hill operators with finitely many gaps,* Funkts. Anal. i ego Pril. **9** 69-70 (1975); English translation: Funct. Anal. Appl. **9** 65-66 (1975).

[29] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa. Solitons and infinite dimensional Lie algebras. *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* **19**, 943-1001 (1983).

[30] C. Kalla, *New degeneration of Fays identity and its application to integrable Systems,* Int Math Res Notices, **18** 4170-4222 (2013).

[31] A. M. Kamchatnov, *On the Baker-Akhiezer function in the AKNS scheme*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **34** L441-L446 (2001).

[32] C. Klein, D. Korotkin, V. Shramchenko, *Ernst equation, Fay identities and variational formulas on hyperelliptic curves,* Math. Res. Lett. **9** 1-20 (2002).

[33] C. Klein, O. Richter, *Ernst equation and Riemann surfaces,* Lecture Notes in Physics **685** (Springer) (2005).

[34] B. Konopelchenko, *The two-dimensional second-order differential spectral problem: compatibility conditions, general BTs and integrable equations,* Inverse Problems **4** 151-163 (1988).

[35] B. Konopelchenko, J. Sidorenko1, W. Strampp, *(1+1)-dimensional integrable systems as symmetry constraints of (2+1)-dimensional systems,* Phys. Lett. A **157** 17-21 (1991).

[36] B. Konopelchenko, W. Strampp, *The AKNS hierarchy as symmetry constraint of the KP hierarchy,* Inverse Problems, **7** L17-L24 (1991).

[37] B. Konopelchenko, W. Strampp, *New reductions of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili and two-dimensional Toda lattice hierarchies via symmetry constraints,* J. Math. Phys. **33**, 3676-3686 (1992).

[38] I. M. Krichever, *Algebraic-geometric construction of the Zaharov-Sabat equations and their periodic solutions*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **227** 394-397 (1976).

[39] I. M. Krichever, *Integration of nonlinear equations by the methods of algebraic geometry,* Funct. Anal. Appl. **11** 12-26 (1977).

[40] I. M. Krichever, *Methods of algebraic geometry in the theory of nonlinear equations,* Russian Math. Surveys, **32** 185-213 (1977).

[41] P. D. Lax, *Periodic solutions of the KdV equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **28** 141-188 (1975).

[42] Yu. I. Manin, A. O. Radul, *A Supersymmetric Extension of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili Hierarchy,* Commun. Math. Phys. **98**, 65-77 (1985).

[43] V. B. Matveev, *Darboux transformation and explicit solutions of the Kadomtcev-Petviaschvily equation, depending on functional parameters*, Lett. Math. Phys. **3** 213C216 (1979).

[44] V. B. Matveev, M. A. Salle, *Darboux transformations and solitons. Springer series in nonlinear dynamics*, Berlin, Germany: Springer (1991).

[45] V. B. Matveev, *30 years of finite-gap integration theory*, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A, **366** 837-875 (2008).

[46] H. P. McKean, P. van Moerbeke, *The spectrum of Hills equation*, Invent. Math. **30** 217-274 (1975).

[47] P. D. Miller, *Vector nonlinear Schrödinger hierarchies as approximate Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchies,* Physica D, **101** 17-26 (1997).

[48] D. Mumford, *Tata Lectures on Theta II*, Birkhäser, Boston, (1984).

[49] S. P. Novikov, A. P. Veselov, *Finite-zone, two-dimensional Schrödinger operators. Potential operators*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **279**, 784-788 (1984), English translation: Sov. Math. Dokl. **30** 705- 708 (1984); *Finite-zone, two-dimensional potential Schrödinger operators. Explicit formulas and evolution equations*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **279** 20-24 (1984); English translation: Sov. Math. Dokl. **30** 588-591 (1984).

[50] S. Novikov, S. V. Manakov, L. P. Pitaevskii, V. E. Zakharov, *Theory of soltions, Consultants Bureau*, New York, (1984).

[51] W. Oevel, W. Strampp, *Constrained KP hierarchy and bi-Hamiltonian structures,* Commun. Math. Phys. **157** 51-81 (1993).

[52] J. M. Rabin, *The geometry of the super KP flows,* Commun. Math. Phys. **137**, 533-552 (1991).

[53] J. Sidorenkot, W. Strampp, *Symmetry constraints of the KP hierarchy,* Inverse Problems **7**, L37-L43 (1991).

[54] P. M. Santini, A. S. Fokas, *Recursion Operators and Bi-Hamiltonian Structures in Multidimensions. I*, Commun. Math. Phys. **115**, 375-19 (1988).

[55] M. Sato, Y. Sato, *Soliton equations as dynamical systems on infinite dimensional Grassmann manifold ,in: H. Fujita, P.D. Lax, G. Strang (Eds.), Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations in Applied Science, Proceedings of the U.S.-Japan Seminar, Tokyo, 1982, Lecture Notes in Num. Appl. Anal., 5, North-Holland, Amsterdam*, 259-271 (1983).

[56] B. Xu, *(1+1)-dimensional integrable Hamiltonian systems reduced from symmetry constraints of the KP hierarchy,* Inverse Problems 9, 355-363 (1993).

[57] K. Yamagishi, *A hamiltonian structure of KP hierarchy, $W_{1+\infty}$ algebra, and self-dual gravity,* Phys. Lett. B, **259** 436-441 (1991).

[58] V. E. Zakharov, S. V. Manakov, S. P. Novikov, L. P. Pitajevski, ed. Novikov, *Theory of solitons*, Nauka, (1980) (Russian).

[59] Y. Zeng, T. Lee *Integrable Hamiltonian systems related to the polynomial eigenvalue problem,* J. Math. Phys. **31**, 2835-2839 (1990).